NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS Aging and DisabilitiesTM

National Core Indicators Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey

2017-2018 National Results Part 1





Acknowledgements

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) is a state-driven project born of the states' desire to strive for improved quality in the programs and services they provide to seniors and adults with disabilities. Over a period of several years (2012-2015), National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) members worked collaboratively with the Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) to develop a tool that would provide meaningful tracking of quality outcomes in long-term services and supports (LTSS). Development of the NCI-AD[™] tool was modeled after the highly successful National Core Indicators[™], developed for the intellectual and developmental disabilities service system by HSRI and the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services (NASDDDS).

NASUAD and HSRI appreciate the spirit of collaboration and partnership between the associations and state staff that has now endured in to the project's fifth year of data collection. We would like to thank the original members of the NCI-AD[™] Steering Committee who helped to lay the groundwork for the NCI-AD project and shape the initial content of the tool. We also thank NASUAD's Board of Directors and the Administration for Community Living for financial support of the project. Finally, and most importantly, we would like to recognize all NCI-AD participating states, without whose effort and participation the project would not be possible.

The project is co-directed by NASUAD and HSRI. Ongoing efforts from both organizations to provide training, technical assistance and data analysis to participating states are critical to the continued success and growth of NCI-AD.

We strongly believe a consumer quality of life survey such as NCI-AD[™] is a key component of a rigorous LTSS quality system and are delighted to see interest and participation in the project continue to climb. It is with great excitement we release the third annual NCI-AD[™] national report, summarizing data from the 16 states that conducted NCI-AD surveys in the 2017-2018 year. These data will prove useful to states, consumers, advocates, providers, and researchers alike.

This report, as well as state-specific reports and other project materials, is available at <u>www.NCI-AD.org.</u>

Martha Roherty, Executive Director, NASUAD

David Hughes, President, HSRI

Martha & Roberty

Hard Hinghs

Released September 2019

Revised September 2019

Preface

The National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and the Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) are proud to present the results of the third full year of the National Core Indicators-Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) Adult Consumer Survey project. Data in this report was collected in the 2017-2018 survey cycle and demonstrates the commitment of participating states to measure and improve the quality of their long-term services and supports (LTSS) systems serving older adults and adults with physical disabilities.

The majority of LTSS in the U.S. are publicly funded and managed by states in a variety of settings. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) define long-term care as "a variety of services that help people with health or personal needs and activities of daily living over a period of time. Long-term care can be provided at home, in the community, or in various types of facilities, including nursing homes and assisted living facilities.¹⁷ While Medicaid accounts for over half of all LTSS expenditures, other funding sources such as the Older Americans Act or state general funds are also utilized by states to provide long-term care services².

Despite the prevalence and variety of LTSS administered by states, there are few tools available for states to measure the quality of publicly funded LTSS and the outcomes experienced by service recipients. Most available tools focus on specific program funding streams (e.g., Medicaid waivers, Medicaid-funded skilled nursing facilities), leaving states to piece together quality measures for various programs in their LTSS systems.

NASUAD and HSRI worked with state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies to address the dearth of comprehensive, outcomes-focused LTSS quality tools that could be administered across multiple programs and environments. As a result of these efforts, a consumer experience survey tool collecting valid and reliable person-reported data was created - the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey. NCI-AD collects information about the impact of states' publicly funded LTSS on the quality of life and outcomes of older adults and adults with physical disabilities receiving services.

¹ https://www.cms.gov/apps/glossary/default.asp?Letter=L&Language=English

² http://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Medicaid-Home-and-Community-Based-Services-Enrollment-and-Spending

Participating in NCI-AD allows states to compare their data nationally and to set statespecific benchmarks for quality in LTSS systems, giving state leaders and decision-makers information they need to improve LTSS for the people they serve.



Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) 2336 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02140



National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) 1201 15th St. NW, Ste. 350, Washington, DC 20005

List of Abbreviations

- AAA Area Agency on Aging A&D – Aged and Disabled
- ABHN Aetna Better Health New Jersey
- AC Alternative Care
- ADRC Aging and Disability Resource Center
- AFC Adult Family Care
- AL Assisted Living
- BI Brain Injury
- BI Section Background Information Section of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey
- CAC Community Alternative Care
- CADI Community Access for Disability Inclusion
- CCT Colorado Choice Transitions
- CDCS Consumer Directed Community Supports
- CFC Choices for Care (VT)
- CFC Community First Choice (OR)
- CHOICE Community and Home Options to Institutional Care for the Elderly and Disabled
- CIL Center for Independent Living
- CMS Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- CPAP continuous positive airway pressure
- DD Developmental Disabilities
- DSHP-Plus Diamond State Health Plan Plus
- EBD Elderly, Blind, and Disabled
- ED Elderly and Disabled
- EW Elderly Waiver
- FE Frail and Elderly
- FFS Fee-For-Service
- HC Home Care
- HCBS Home and Community-Based Services
- HCBW-FE Home and Community Based Waiver for the Frail Elderly
- HCBW-FE Home and Community Based Waiver for Persons with Physical Disabilities
- HNJH Horizon New Jersey Health
- HSRI Human Services Research Institute
- ICF/DD Intermediate Care Facility for Persons with Developmental Disabilities
- ID/DD Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities

- IDT Interdisciplinary Team
- IL Independent Living
- IRIS Include, Respect, I-Self Direct
- LOC Level of Care
- LTSS Long-Term Services and Supports
- MCO Managed Care Organization
- MLTSS Managed Long-Term Services and Supports
- N Number of respondents
- N/A Not Applicable
- NASDDDS National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services
- NASUAD National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities
- NCI-AD[™] National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities[©]
- NB Neurobehavioral Hospital
- NF Nursing Facility
- NH Nursing Home
- OAA Older Americans Act
- PACE Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly
- PAS Personal Assistance Services
- PCA Personal Care Assistant
- PD Physical Disability
- RUCA Rural-Urban Commuting Area
- SCA Senior Care Act
- SCI Spinal Cord Injury
- SMRT State Medical Review Team
- SNF Skilled Nursing Facility
- TBI Traumatic Brain Injury
- UHC United Healthcare Community Plan

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Preface	4
List of Abbreviations	7
Table of Contents	9
Introduction	20
Organization of the Report	21
Survey Tool	22
Survey Overview	
Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and Indicators	
Survey Organization	
I. State Participation	
State Participation	
Figure 2. States collecting NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data in 2017-2018	
State Sampling Strategies	
Colorado	
Delaware	
Georgia	
Indiana	31
Kansas	33
Minnesota	36
Mississippi	39
Nebraska	40
Nevada	41
New Jersey	42
Ohio	44
Oregon	45
Tennessee	46
Texas	47
Vermont	48
Wisconsin	49
Classification of Programs	50
Figure 3. Classification of 2017-2018 state programs into program categories	55
Figure 4. Margins of error by state and program category (95% Confidence Level, assuming 0.7 distribution (<i>assuming 0.5 distribution</i>))	
Explanation of Results	
P	

II.	Results	.59
Sect	ion I. Demographic Characteristics	
	Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years old)	61
	Table 2. Proportion of individuals 90 years of age and older	62
	Table 3. Gender: proportion female	63
	Table 4. Race and ethnicity (by state only)	64
	Table 5. Marital status (by state only)	65
	Table 6. Primary language (by state only)	66
	Table 7. Type of residential area (by state only)	67
	Table 8. Type of residence (by state only)	68
	Table 9. Who the person lives with (by state only)	69
	Table 10. Proportion of people whose address changed in the past 6 months	70
	Table 11. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of physical disability	71
	Table 12. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease or other dementia	72
	Table 13. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of acquired or traumatic brain injury	73
	Table 14. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of intellectual or other developmental disability	74
	Table 15. Level of mobility (by state only)	75
	Table 16. Proportion of people with history of frequent falls (more than two falls in a six-month period)	76
	Table 17. Proportion of people receiving Medicare	77
	Table 18. Length of LTSS services in current program (by state only)	78
	Table 19. Proportion of people who have a legal guardian	79
Sect	ion II. Outcome Tables and Comparisons Across States	.80
	munity Participation	
	Table 20. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be (risk-adjusted).	83
	Table 21. Reasons people are not as active in the community as they would like to be	84
	Table 22. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much a they want to (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)	
Choi	ce and Decision-Making	86
	Table 23. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates)	
	Table 24. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	88
	Table 25. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	89
	Table 26. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want (if in group setting)	
Relat	tionships	91
	Table 27. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with them) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	

Table 28. Reasons people aren't always able to see or talk to friends and family (who do not live with them)
Satisfaction
Table 29. Proportion of people who like where they are living (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)9
Table 30a. Reasons for not liking where people are living9
Table 30b. Reasons for not liking where people are living (continued)9
Table 31. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else (risk-adjusted)
Table 32. Where people would prefer to live9
Table 33. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during th day (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)
Table 34. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often
Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done 10
Service Coordination
Table 36. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services
Table 37. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have a case manager/care coordinator)10
Table 38. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to 10
Table 39. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
Table 40. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs (risk-adjusted)
Table 41. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals (risk-adjusted)
Table 42a. Additional services that might help meet people's needs and goals
Table 42b. Additional services that might help meet people's needs and goals (continued)11
Table 43. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have a case manager/care coordinator)
Table 44. How people first found out about the services available to them
Table 45. Who helps people most often (if anyone helps on a regular basis)
Table 46. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Table 47. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up11
Care Coordination
Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)
Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or back to

where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year 120

Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year
Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if have chronic conditions)
Access
Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)124
Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to
Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)
Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them
Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them
Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it
Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside their home but do not have it
Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it
Table 61. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it 13.
Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it
Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it
Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it
Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it
Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them
Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them
Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it
Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it
Safety
Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home (risk-adjusted)
Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff
Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings (risk adjusted) 14
Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months 14
Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable (risk-adjusted)14
Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk14
Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire (risk-adjusted)
Health Care

	Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year
	Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance 151
	Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain 152
	Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to
	Table 81. Proportion of people feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months
	Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year155
	Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year
	Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year
	Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year
	Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year
	Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years
Wellı	ness
	Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor
	Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago (risk-adjusted) 163
	Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months
	Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse
	Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis 166
	Table 93. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed (<i>risk-adjusted</i>) 167
	Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)
	Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if they wear any)
	Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if they wear any)
	Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability
Medi	cations
	Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed (risk-adjusted)
	Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (iftake prescription medications)174
Right	s and Respect
0	Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect
	Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission gets asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting) 177

	Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in groupsetting)178
	Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)
	Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)180
	Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)
,	Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting).182
	Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting) setting)
Self-D	irection of Care
	Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State—data derived from the State's existing administrative records)
	Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get (risk- adjusted) adjusted)
	Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services (risk-adjusted)
	Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to (risk-adjusted)
Work	
	Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)
	Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) (risk-adjusted)191
,	Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options 192
	Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work (risk-adjusted)
	Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering) (risk-adjusted)
Every	day Living
	Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)
	Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
, 1	Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)
	Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it (risk-adjusted) 199
	Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them
Afford	lability
	Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries
Contr	ol203
	Table 123. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives (risk-adjusted)
	Table 124. Ranking of how important health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends are to people 205

Section III: Outcome Charts by Program Category2	
Community Participation – charts	207
Chart 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	207
Chart 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as t want to (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)	
Choice and Decision-Making – charts2	209
Chart 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates)	209
Chart 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	210
Chart 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	211
Chart 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to in group setting)	-
Relationships – charts	213
Chart 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to their friends and family when the want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with them) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	
Satisfaction – charts	214
Chart 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living (risk-adjusted)	214
Chart 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else (risk-adjusted)	215
Chart 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during day (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)	
Chart 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often	217
Chart 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done	218
Service Coordination – charts	219
Chart 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	219
Chart 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need (if know they have a case manager/care coordinator)	
Chart 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed	
Chart 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place	222
Chart 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs (risk-adjusted)	
Chart 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals (risk-adjusted)	224
Chart 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	e a
Chart 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps then most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	
Chart 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis) <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	227
Chart 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up	228

Care Coordination - charts	29
Chart 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)2	
Chart 24. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or back to where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year2	30
Chart 25. Proportion of people who had someone follow-up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year2	
Chart 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if have chronic conditions)	32
Access - charts	33
Chart 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)2	
Chart 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to2	34
Chart 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	35
Chart 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but on the hore but a not have them	
Chart 31. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them2	37
Chart 32. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	38
Chart 33. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside their home but do not have 2	
Chart 34. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it2	40
Chart 35. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it 2	41
Chart 36. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	42
Chart 37. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	43
Chart 38. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it	44
Chart 39. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it2	45
Chart 40. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them	46
Chart 41. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	47
Chart 42. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it	48
Chart 43. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it2	49
Safety – charts	50
Chart 44. Proportion of people who feel safe at home (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)2	50
Chart 45. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff2	51
Chart 46. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings <i>(risadjusted)</i> 2	
Chart 47. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 1 months	
Chart 48. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable (risk-adjusted)2	54

Chart 49. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk t them or work with them to reduce the risk25	
Chart 50. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire <i>(risk-adjusted)</i> 25	56
Health Care – charts	57
Chart 51. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year 25	
Chart 52. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	58
Chart 53. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mout pain25	
Chart 54. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when the need to	
Chart 55. Proportion of people feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months	
Chart 56. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year	52
Chart 57. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	53
Chart 58. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	54
Chart 59. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	55
Chart 60. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	
Chart 61. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years	
Wellness – charts	58
Chart 62. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor	
Chart 63. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>	59
Chart 64. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months	70
Chart 65. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse27	
Chart 66. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis27	/2
Chart 67. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)	/3
Chart 68. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)	74
Chart 69. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if they wear any)27	
Chart 70. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if they wear any)27	76
Chart 71. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability	77
Medications – charts	'8
Chart 72. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed <i>(risk-adjusted)</i> 27	

Chart 73. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if take prescription medications)
Rights and Respect – charts
Chart 74. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect
Chart 75. Proportion of people whose permission gets asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)
Chart 76. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)
Chart 77. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)
Chart 78. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)
Chart 79. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)
Chart 80. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)280
Chart 81. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)
Self-Direction of Care – charts
Chart 82. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State—data derived from the State's existing administrative records)
Chart 83. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Chart 84. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Chart 85. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to (<i>risk-adjusted</i>)
Work – charts
Chart 86. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community (risk-adjusted)
Chart 87. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) (risk-adjusted)29.
Chart 88. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options
Chart 89. Proportion of people who do volunteer work (risk-adjusted)
Chart 90. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering) (risk-adjusted)
Everyday Living – charts
Chart 91. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)
Chart 92. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Chart 93. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)
Chart 94. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it <i>(risk-adjusted)</i>
Chart 95. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them

Affordability – charts	
Chart 96. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	
Control – charts	
Chart 97. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives (risk-adjusted)	

Introduction

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) are standard measures used across participating states to assess the quality of life and outcomes of older adults and adults with physical disabilities—including traumatic or acquired brain injury—who are accessing publicly-funded long-term services and supports (LTSS) through Medicaid, the Older Americans Act (OAA), Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), and/or state-funded programs. Measures address key facets of LTSS, such as service and care coordination, access, community participation, choice and decision making, employment, rights and respect, health care, and safety. NCI-AD data measure the performance of states' LTSS systems and service recipient outcomes, helping states prioritize quality improvement initiatives, engage in thoughtful decision making, and conduct futures planning with valid and reliable LTSS data.

The project is coordinated by the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities³ (NASUAD) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI). NCI-AD data are gathered through yearly in-person Adult Consumer Surveys administered by state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies (or a state agency-contracted vendor) to a sample of at least 400 recipients of LTSS in each participating state. State participation in the NCI-AD program is entirely voluntary.

The project officially launched in the summer of 2015 with 13 participating states⁴. The 2019-2020 cycle marks its fifth year of implementation, with more than twenty states expected to participate. For more on the development and history of NCI-AD, refer to the *National Core Indicators Aging and Disability Adult Consumer Survey: 2015-2016 National Results* report, available on the project website (www.NCI-AD.org).

This report presents results from the sixteen states that participated in the third year of data collection, which ran from June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. The 2017-2018 state-specific reports, employing customized analyses and comparisons reflecting each state's sampling strategy and analysis goals, are publicly available on the NCI-AD website (www.NCI-AD.org).

³ NASUAD is the membership organization for state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid directors. www.nasuad.org

⁴ Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

Organization of the Report

The 2017-2018 NCI-AD National Report is published in two separate parts: Part 1 and Part 2.

Part 1 of the report contains several sections, beginning with a brief description of the 2017-2018 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey ("Survey Tool") and information about states that collected data in 2017-2018 ("State Participation"). Analyzed results from the 2017-2018 data collection cycle of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey are presented in three sections:

"Section I: Demographic Characteristics" contains tables with demographic and servicerelated characteristics of service recipients in each state.

"Section II: Outcome Tables and Comparisons Across States" contains analyzed outcome data for each state and program category, with results presented in tabular format and outcomes grouped into domains.

"Section III: Outcome Charts by Program Category" contains charts with an alternative presentation of analyzed outcome data across program categories.

Part 2 of the report is published as a separate document and contains information about NCI-AD measures and its psychometric properties ("NCI-AD: Background"), and general protocols for implementing the NCI-AD program, designing a sampling strategy and conducting NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys ("Survey Methodology"). Details on data analysis and methodology employed for creating this report can be found in the "Data Analysis" section. Finally, Part 2 also includes two appendices: Appendix A, describing the rules for recoding and collapsing survey responses, and Appendix B, which presents uncollapsed, un-weighted and un-adjusted data in tabular format by state.

Survey Tool

Survey Overview

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey is designed to measure outcomes across eighteen broad domains and key areas of concern. These eighteen domains are comprised of approximately 50 core indicators. Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals, including respect and rights, service coordination, care coordination, employment, choice, health, and safety. An example of an indicator in the Service Coordination domain is: "Proportion of people who receive the services that they need."

While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the indicator "Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices" in the Access domain is addressed by several survey questions that ask about the person's need for various types of home modifications and assistive devices. For a description of 2017-2018 NCI-AD domains and corresponding indicators, please see Figure 1 below.

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that support them and with whom they spend time
Relationships	Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
	Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
	Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
	Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
	Proportion of people who find out about services from service agencies

Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and Indicators

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
	Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
	Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to
	Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
	Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up
Care Coordination	Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or long-term care facility who feel comfortable going home
	Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or long-term care facility who have adequate follow-up
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions
Access	Proportion of people who have adequate transportation
	Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.)
	Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language (for non-English speakers)
Safety	Proportion of people who feel safe at home
	Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff
	Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe
	Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed
	Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency
Health Care	Proportion of people who have been to the emergency room in the past 12 months
	Proportion of people who have needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.)
	Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their doctor when they need to
	Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them
Wellness	Proportion of people who are living with a physical disability
	Proportion of people who are in poor health
	Proportion of people who have unaddressed memory concerns
	Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who have a chronic condition(s)
	Proportion of people who have poor hearing

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people who have poor vision
Medications	Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who know what their medications are for
Rights and	Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others
Respect	Proportion of people whose staff treat them with respect
Self-Direction	Proportion of people who are self-directing
of Care	Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them
Work	Proportion of people who have a paid job
	Proportion of people who would like a job
	Proportion of people wanting a job who have job search assistance
	Proportion of people who volunteer
	Proportion of people who would like to volunteer
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (e.g. bathing, toileting, eating, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. preparing meals, housework, taking medications, etc.)
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to cut back on food because of money
Planning for future	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
Control	Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives

Participating NCI-AD states have the option to incorporate up to ten additional statespecific questions into the standard Survey. When a state requests to add additional survey questions, the project team reviews the proposed items for phrasing, response options, proxy assistance (whether proxies should be allowed to respond to the question) and appropriate placement in the Survey. In 2017-2018, twelve states (Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, and Wisconsin) chose to add at least one state-specific question. For results and details on these state-specific questions, please refer to the 2017-2018 state-specific reports, available on the project's website (www.NCI-AD.org).

Survey Organization

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey tool consists of the Pre-Survey form, the Background Information section, the Full In-Person Section, and the Interviewer Feedback form. An alternative Proxy Version of the In-Person Section is available for those interviews that need to be conducted with the proxy of the service recipient instead of him/herself. Each section of the tool is described below.

Pre-Survey form: The Pre-Survey section is an optional form intended to collect information that may be helpful for surveyors to prepare for and schedule the survey meetings. The Pre-Survey form is for surveyor use only; Pre-Survey information is not submitted or used for any data analysis or reporting.

Background Information (BI) Section: The BI Section collects demographic and servicerelated information about the service recipient. To the extent possible, data for the BI Section are derived from states' existing administrative records. BI items not available from state administrative data sources may be collected by surveyors at the end of the survey meeting. Surveyors may collect any missing BI information with the exception of five BI items that must be completed using administrative data sources (person's primary source of LTSS funding/program, LTSS services received through that program, length of receiving services, participation in a self-directed supports option, and legal guardianship status). Each BI item tracks whether data were derived from existing administrative records or collected by surveyors as part of the survey meetings.

In-Person Survey: The Full In-Person Survey consists of a total of approximately 90 questions, organized into thematic sub-sections with related questions grouped together (e.g., questions about employment are in the same section; questions about the home are in a separate section, etc.). The Full In-Person Survey is completed face-to-face with the person receiving services. The respondent may ask their proxy (e.g. a family member or a close friend) for assistance with answering some of the questions, if needed. The Full In-Person Survey includes both subjective and objective questions; proxy assistance is only allowed for a subset of more objective items.

Proxy Version: The Proxy Version is an alternative version of the In-Person Survey. It is used in place of the Full In-Person Survey when the person receiving services is unable or unwilling to provide valid responses or has asked their proxy to complete the survey on their behalf. The Proxy Version includes only the subset of more objective questions that allow for proxy assistance. Questions in the Proxy Version are rephrased to be in third person, making it clear their subject is the person receiving services and not the proxy respondent. Surveyors must meet with the service recipient face-to-face and attempt to interview them; only after the in-person attempt has been made can the proxy be surveyed instead of the service recipient.

Interviewer Feedback Form: The Interviewer Feedback Form is completed by surveyors after the survey meeting is concluded. It collects information about the survey meeting itself, such as when and where the meeting took place, how long it took, who was present, the respondent's level of comprehension, etc. Surveyors are also asked to provide any feedback they may have about the survey tool itself or the survey process overall.

I. State Participation

This section contains a detailed description of each state that collected NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data in the 2017-2018 program cycle.

State Participation

NCI-AD states display willingness to gain knowledge about their LTSS systems and share outcomes with other states when they participate in the project, which is completely voluntary. Sixteen states chose to conduct NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys during the 2017-2018 data collection cycle (Figure 2): Colorado (CO), Delaware (DE), Georgia (GA), Indiana (IN), Kansas (KS), Minnesota (MN), Mississippi (MS), Nebraska (NE), Nevada (NV), New Jersey (NJ), Ohio (OH), Oregon (OR), Tennessee (TN), Texas (TX), Vermont (VT), and Wisconsin (WI).




Figure 2. States collecting NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data in 2017-2018

State Sampling Strategies

Created with mapchart.net @

There is considerable variation in how states' long-term services and supports (LTSS) programs serving older adults and adults with physical disabilities are organized, funded,

and administered. The NCI-AD program allows states to include programs funded through Medicaid, the Older Americans Act, Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), state-only funds, and/or other state- and federal-blended funds. This means surveyed service recipients may be served in a variety of settings, including their homes and communities as well as institutional settings such as nursing facilities. The NCI-AD project team works closely with each participating NCI-AD state to advise and track which LTSS program populations and sub-populations are included in the state's sampling strategy, how that sample is designed and how many surveys are targeted for completion.

Below is a description of each state's sampling approach: the programs included, how many survey-eligible participants they serve, and the number of surveys completed in each in the 2017-2018 data collection cycle.

Colorado

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Colorado and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was nine hundred and ninety-eight (Total N=998). Three program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Elderly, Blind, and Disabled (EBD) Waiver	792	24,000
Older Americans Act (OAA)	192	7,134
Colorado Choice Transitions (CCT)	14	174
Total	998	31,308

Elderly, Blind, and Disabled (EBD) 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver: This program provides assistance to people aged 65 and older who have a functional impairment or are blind, and to people aged 18-64 who are physically disabled or have a diagnosis of HIV or AIDS and require LTSS in order to remain in a community setting. Services include Adult Day Services, Alternative Care Facilities, Community Transition Services, Consumer Directed Attendant Support Services, Homemaker Services, Home Modifications, In-Home Support Services, Medication Reminder, Non-Medical Transportation, Personal Care Services, Personal Emergency Response System, and Respite Care Services. Seven hundred ninety-two people (N=792) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Older Americans Act (OAA): The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, in-

home services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Individuals must be receiving at least one "Cluster 1 Service," including adult day care/adult day health, chore, homemaker, personal care services, and/or home-delivered meals 2-3 or more times per week to be eligible for the NCI-AD survey. One hundred ninety-two people (N=192) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Colorado Choice Transitions (CCT): This demonstration program is designed to assist Medicaid members who are interested in transitioning out of long-term care facilities back into home and community-based settings. CCT provides members access to state plan benefits, home and community-based waiver services, in addition to CCT-enhanced services and supports aimed at promoting independence for 365 days of enrollment. Fourteen people (N=14) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Delaware

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Delaware and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was six hundred and seventy-five (Total N=675). One program population comprising two types of service settings was included in the survey sample; the program is detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
DSHP-Plus, home and community- based services (HCBS)	345	4,316
DSHP-Plus, skilled nursing facility services (SNF)	330	2,915
Total	675	7,231

Diamond State Health Plan-Plus (DSHP-Plus): Delaware's Medicaid managed care program, comprised of DSHP and DSHP-Plus, is authorized under the authority of a Section 1115 demonstration waiver. DSHP was implemented in 1996 and requires most Medicaid participants to receive acute physical and behavioral health care services through a managed care organization (MCO). In 2012, Delaware implemented the DSHP-Plus program, which expanded the populations required to enroll in managed care to include dual eligible participants (recipients who qualify for both Medicare and Medicaid benefits) and individuals receiving nursing facility services or, as an alternative to nursing facility services, home and community-based services (HCBS). This program provides improved access to community-based long-term care services and increased flexibility to more effectively address individual needs, and to better control rising long-term care costs significantly impacting Medicaid. Two types of service settings were included in the sample strategy: facility-based (skilled nursing facilities, i.e. SNF) and HCBS. All service recipients were enrolled in one of two MCOs: Highmark Health Options and AmeriHealth Caritas.

Georgia

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Georgia and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was eight hundred and three (Total N=803). One program population was included in the survey sample and is detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Non-Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services (OAA)	803	8,961
Total	803	8,961

Non-Medicaid Home and Community Based Services (Older Americans Act (OAA)):

The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, in-home services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Individuals must be receiving at least one "Cluster 1 Service," including adult day care/adult day health, chore, homemaker, personal care services, and/or home-delivered meals 2-3 or more times per week to be eligible for the NCI-AD survey.

Indiana

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Indiana and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was one thousand four hundred and thirty-eight (Total N=1,438). Four program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
CHOICE	348	~3,700
Medicaid Waivers ⁵	362	~18,400

⁵ A&D and TBI Waivers were sampled together

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Title III (OAA)	364	~8,000
Medicaid SNF	337	~27,000
Total	1,4386	~57,100

Community and Home Options to Institutional Care for the Elderly and Disabled (CHOICE) Program: Community and Home Options to Institutional Care for the Elderly and Disabled (CHOICE) is a state-funded program administered through Indiana's 16 Area Agencies on Aging to assist individuals in maintaining their independence in their own homes and communities for as long as is safely possible. Services include, but are not limited to, attendant care, case management, environmental modification, homemaker, home delivered meals, personal emergency response systems, and respite. CHOICE participants must be at least 60 years of age or be any age and have a disability due to a mental or physical impairment. Participants must also be found to be at risk of losing their independence, usually indicated by difficulties with activities of daily living, such as bathing, dressing, or mobility. CHOICE funds may not be used if other funding, such as Medicare or Medicaid, is available to meet the individual's needs. Three hundred and fortyeight people (N=348) from this program were included for analysis.

Medicaid Waivers: Two Medicaid Waivers were included in Indiana's sample – the Aged and Disabled Waiver (A&D) and the Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver. A total of three hundred and sixty-two people (N=362) across these two waivers were included for analysis.

Aged and Disabled (A&D) Waiver: The A&D Waiver provides an alternative to nursing facility admission for older adults and persons of all ages with a disability. The waiver is designed to provide services to supplement informal supports for people who would require care in a nursing facility if waiver or other supports were not available. Waiver services can be used to help people remain in their own homes, as well as assist people living in nursing facilities to return to community settings.

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver: The TBI Waiver provides HCBS to individuals who, but for the provision of such services, would require institutional care. Through the use of the TBI Waiver, the Indiana Office of Medicaid Policy and Planning and the Indiana Division of Aging seek to increase availability and access to

⁶ Program was missing for 27 cases

cost-effective TBI services. Indiana defines TBI as a trauma that has occurred as a closed or open head injury by an external event that resulted in damage to brain tissue, with or without injury to other body organs. External agents can be mechanical; external events are those that result in interference with vital functions. TBI means a sudden insult or damage to brain function, not of a degenerative or congenital nature. The insult of damage may produce an altered state of consciousness and may result in a decrease in cognitive, behavioral, emotional, or physical functioning resulting in partial or total disability not including birth trauma related injury.

NOTE: Persons enrolled in the A&D Waiver and TBI Waiver were sampled together.

Title III, Older Americans Act (OAA) : The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, inhome services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Individuals must be receiving at least one "Cluster 1 Service," including adult day care/adult day health, chore, homemaker, personal care services, and/or homedelivered meals 2-3 or more times per week to be eligible for the NCI-AD survey. Three hundred sixty-four people (N=364) from this program were included for analysis.

Medicaid Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNF): This program consists of nursing facilities that utilize Medicaid funding. Three hundred thirty-seven people (N=337) from this program were included for analysis.

Kansas

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Kansas and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was four hundred forty (Total N=440). Six program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Frail and Elderly (FE) Waiver	91	~5,000
Physical Disability (PD) Waiver	98	~6,000
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver	18	~500
Older Americans Act (OAA)	130	~6,000
Senior Care Act (SCA)	81	~1,000

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
РАСЕ	19	~400
Total	4407	~18,900

Frail and Elderly (FE) Waiver: This program provides assistance to individuals ages 65 and older who qualify to receive Medicaid and require long-term services and supports (LTSS) in order to remain in a community setting as determined through a state level of care assessment. Services include Adult Day Care, Assistive Technology, Comprehensive Support, Enhanced Care Service, Financial Management Services, Home Telehealth, Medication Reminder, Nursing Evaluation Visit, Oral Health Services, Personal Care Services, Personal Emergency Response, and Wellness Monitoring. The option for individuals to self-direct their care is made available for the following services: Comprehensive Support, Enhanced Care Service, Financial Management Services, and Personal Care Services. Ninety-one people (N=91) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Physical Disability (PD) Waiver: This program provides LTSS to those individuals between the ages of 16 and 64 who have a qualifying physical disability, qualify to receive Medicaid, and require LTSS in order to remain in a community setting as determined through a state level of care assessment. Services include Assistive Services, Enhanced Care Service, Financial Management Services, Home-Delivered Meals Service, Medication Reminder Services, Personal Care Services, and Personal Emergency Response. The option for individuals to self-direct their care is made available for the following services: Enhanced Care Service, Financial Management Services, and Personal Care Services. Ninety-eight people (N=98) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver: This program provides assistance to those individuals between the ages of 16 and 65 who have a documented and traumaticallyincurred brain injury, demonstrate the capacity for progress in rehabilitation and independent living skills, qualify to receive Medicaid, and require LTSS in order to remain in a community setting as determined through a state level of care assessment. Individuals who receive services through this waiver may continue to do so up to four years until it is determined that they are no longer making progress in rehabilitation and improved living skills. Exceptions to this timeframe are subject to a standardized review process at the state level. Services include Assistive Services, Behavior Therapy, Cognitive Rehabilitation,

⁷ Program was missing for 3 cases

Enhanced Care Service, Home-Delivered Meals Service, Medication Reminder Services, Occupational Therapy, Personal Care Services, Personal Emergency Response, Physical Therapy, and Transitional Living Skills. Eighteen people (N=18) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Older Americans Act (OAA): The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, inhome services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Individuals must be receiving at least one "Cluster 1 Service," including adult day care/adult day health, chore, homemaker, personal care services, and/or homedelivered meals 2-3 or more times per week to be eligible for the NCI-AD survey. One hundred and thirty people (N=130) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Senior Care Act (SCA) Program: The SCA provides a critical early intervention component to the Kansas long term care network. The SCA program provides services in the customer's home, such as homemaker, chore, attendant care, and case management services. The services are designed to prevent premature nursing home placement for persons who have not exhausted their financial resources. The program is targeted at those who are 60 years of age or older. Participants contribute a portion of the cost of SCA services. Participant contributions are determined by a sliding fee scale based upon self-reported income and liquid assets for individuals served by the program. Eighty-one people (N=81) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE): This program is funded through Medicare and Medicaid. It serves individuals who are 55 years of age and older who require nursing home level of care. Each PACE participant receives customized care that is planned and delivered by a coordinated, interdisciplinary team (IDT) of professionals working at the center. The team meets regularly with each participant and his or her representative in order to assess the participant's needs. A participant's care plan usually integrates some home care services from the team with several visits each week to the PACE center, which serves as the hub for medical care, rehabilitation, social activities and dining. PACE services include: round-the-clock services, home care, homemaker services, chore services, home health aide services, adult day health care services, personal emergency response system, home modification, durable medical equipment, transportation, IDT, social services, respite, home delivered meals, and an assisted living

program. Nineteen people (N=19) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Minnesota

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys completed in Minnesota and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was three thousand seven hundred and fifty-eight (Total N=3,758). The program populations included in the survey sample are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Elderly Waiver (EW), managed care (MLTSS)	1,115	21,842
Elderly Waiver (EW), fee-for-service (FFS)	337	23,441
Alternative Care (AC)	314	1,739
State Plan Funded Home Care (HC)	350	1,994
Community Alternative Care (CAC) Waiver	32	213
Community Access for Disability Inclusion (CADI) Waiver	843	5,970
Brain Injury (BI) Waiver	40	283
Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver	727	5,005
Total	3,758	60,487

Elderly Waiver (EW): The EW program provides home and community-based services for people who need the level of care provided in a nursing home but who choose to live in the community. Services may be provided through one of several managed care organizations (MCOs) or under the fee-for-service (FFS) model. To qualify for services, individuals must be age 65 or older, be eligible for Medical Assistance (Medicaid), meet nursing home level of care, and need services that EW can provide for less than the cost of care in a nursing home. Covered services may include adult day services, case management, chore services, companion services, consumer-directed community supports, family caregiver support services, including respite, home health aides, home-delivered meals, homemaker services, home and vehicle modifications, non-medical transportation, personal emergency response systems, personal care assistance, residential services, skilled nursing visits, specialized equipment and supplies, and transitional services. One thousand one hundred and fifteen people (N=1,115) receiving services through one of seven MCOs and three hundred and thirty-seven people (N=337) receiving services under FFS model were interviewed and included for analysis.

Alternative Care (AC): The AC program provides home and community-based services to people who need nursing home level of care but choose to live in the community. Alternative Care is for people with low income and assets who are not eligible for Medical Assistance. To qualify, individuals must be age 65 and older, meet nursing home level of care, lack the income and assets to pay for a nursing home stay lasting longer than 135 days, need services that alternative care can provide for less than 75 percent of what Medicaid would pay for an older person with a similar level of need, and have no other way to pay for the services. The Alternative Care program provides many of the same services as the EW program. Three hundred and fourteen people (N=314) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

State Plan Funded Home Care (HC): The HC program provides medical and healthrelated services and assistance with day-to-day activities to people in their home. It can be used to provide short-term care for people moving from a hospital or nursing home back to their home, or it can also be used to provide continuing care to people with ongoing needs. Home care services are available to people who are eligible for Medicaid or MinnesotaCare Expanded, who have needs that are medically necessary and physician ordered and provided according to a written service plan. Services include equipment and supplies, home care nursing, home health aide, personal care assistance, skilled nursing visits, occupational therapy, physical therapy, respiratory therapy, and speech therapy. The individuals who participated in this survey were a sample drawn from a subset of the HC population who received personal care assistance services in addition to other services they may have been receiving. Three hundred and fifty people (N=350) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Community Alternative Care (CAC) Waiver: This program provides home and community-based services necessary as an alternative to institutionalization that promote the optimal health, independence, safety and integration of a person who is chronically ill or medically fragile and who would otherwise require the level of care provided in a hospital. To be eligible for the CAC waiver, a person must be eligible for Medicaid , certified disabled by Social Security or the State Medical Review Team (SMRT), under age 65 at the time of opening to the waiver, determined by the case manager/service coordinator to meet the hospital level of care criteria, certified by the primary physician to meet the level of care provided in a hospital, and has an assessed need for supports and services over and above those available through the Medicaid State Plan. Some services covered include: case management/service coordination, chore services, Consumer Directed Community

Supports (CDCS), and family adult day services. Thirty-two people (N=32) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Community Access for Disability Inclusion (CADI) Waiver: The CADI waiver program provides home and community-based services necessary as an alternative to institutionalization that promote the optimal health, independence, safety and integration of a person who would otherwise require the level of care provided in a nursing facility. To be eligible for the CADI waiver, a person must be eligible for Medicaid, certified disabled by Social Security or the SMRT, under age 65 at the time of opening to the waiver, determined by the case manager/service coordinator to need nursing facility level of care, and has an assessed need for supports and services over and above those available through the Medicaid State plan. Some services covered include: case management/service coordination, chore services, CDCS, and family adult day services. Eight hundred and forty-three people (N=843) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Brain Injury (BI) Waiver: The Brain Injury waiver program provides home and community-based services necessary as an alternative to institutionalization that promote the optimal health, independence, safety and integration of a person and who would otherwise require the level of care provided in a specialized nursing facility or neurobehavioral hospital. To be eligible for the BI Waiver, a person must be eligible for Medicaid, certified disabled by Social Security or the SMRT, under age 65 at the time of opening to the waiver, determined by the case manager/service coordinator to meet one of the following level of care criteria: Nursing Facility (BI-NF), Neurobehavioral Hospital (BI-NB). People must have also a completed BI Waiver Assessment and Eligibility Determination and be diagnosed with one of the documented diagnoses of brain injury or related neurological condition that resulted in significant cognitive and behavioral impairment. Some of the services covered include: 24-hour emergency assistance, adult day services, residential care services, respite, prevocational services, structured day program, case management/service coordination and supported employment services. Forty people (N=40) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver: This waiver program provides home and community-based services necessary as an alternative to institutionalization that promote the optimal health, independence, safety and integration of a person who meets the waiver eligibility criteria and who would require the level of care provided in an Intermediate Care Facility for Persons with Developmental Disabilities (ICF/DD). To be eligible for the DD waiver, a person must be eligible for Medicaid based on disability diagnosis, have a developmental disability or a related condition, determined by the case manager/service

coordinator to meet the ICF/DD level of care criteria, require daily interventions, daily service needs and a 24-hour plan of care that is specified in the community support plan and has been assessed to need a residential habilitation service that must be included in the person's community support plan. Also, they must have made an informed choice of waiver services instead of ICF/DD services and have an assessed need for supports and services over and above those available through the Medicaid State Plan. Some services covered include: 4-hour emergency assistance, adult day services, assistive technology, caregiver living expenses, case management/service coordination, and transportation. Seven hundred and twenty-seven people (N=727) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Mississippi

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Mississippi and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was four hundred and twenty-eight (Total N=428). Four program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Assisted Living (AL) Waiver	108	588
Elderly and Disabled (ED) Waiver	105	16,225
Independent Living (IL) Waiver	105	2,624
Traumatic Brain Injury/Spinal Cord Injury (TBI/SCI) Waiver	110	891
Total	428	20,328

Assisted Living (AL) Waiver: This program is funded through a 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver. It provides assisted living services to individuals age 21 and older and to individuals with functional, cognitive, and/or medical support needs. One hundred and eight people (N=108) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Elderly and Disabled (ED) Waiver: This program is funded through a 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver. It provides adult day care, case management, in-home respite, personal care, extended home health, home delivered meals, and institutional respite care for individuals age 65 and older and to individuals with physical disabilities ages 21-64. One hundred and five people (N=105) in this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Independent Living (IL) Waiver: This program is funded through a 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver. It provides case management, personal care attendant, financial management

services, environmental accessibility adaptation, specialized medical equipment and supplies, and transition assistance for individuals age 16 or older who have severe orthopedic and/or neurological impairments. One hundred and five people (N=105) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Traumatic Brain Injury/Spinal Cord Injury (TBI/SCI) Waiver: This program is funded through a 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver. It provides case management, personal care attendant, respite, environmental accessibility adaptations, specialized medical equipment and supplies, and transition assistance services for individuals of all ages with a TBI or SCI. One hundred and ten people (N=110) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Nebraska

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Nebraska and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was six hundred and seventy-two (Total N=672). Four program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
Aged and Disabled (A&D) Waiver	229	4,206
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver	17	19
Nursing Facility Services (NFs)	305	6,537
Personal Assistance Services (PAS)	121	2,322
Total	672	13,084

Aged and Disabled (A&D) Waiver: This program provides options related to needed services and community supports to aged persons and adults and children with disabilities. Services include adult day health services, chore services, respite services, assisted living services, assistive technology supports and home modifications, extra care for children with disabilities, home again services, home delivered meals, independent skills building, nutrition services, personal emergency response system and transportation services. Two hundred twenty-nine people (N=229) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Waiver: This program provides specialized Assisted Living Services to individuals with traumatically acquired, non-degenerative structural brain damage who meet nursing facility level of care. Seventeen people (N=17) in this program were interviewed and included for analysis. **Nursing Facility Services (NFs):** Nursing facility services are furnished in a facility to individuals who meet a nursing facility level of care and are eligible for Medicaid. Three hundred and five people (N=305) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Personal Assistance Services (PAS): Personal assistance services are provided under the Medicaid state plan to persons with disabilities and chronic conditions of all ages to enable them to accomplish tasks that they would normally do for themselves if they did not have a disability. One hundred twenty-one people (N=121) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Nevada

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Nevada and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was four hundred and six (Total N=406). Two program populations were included in the survey sample and are described below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants		
Home and Community Based Waiver for the Frail Elderly (HCBW-FE)	290	2,039		
Home and Community Based Waiver for Persons with Physical Disabilities (HCBW-PD)	98	789		
Total	406 ⁸	2,828		

Home and Community Based Waiver for the Frail Elderly (HCBW-FE): This waiver serves recipients age 65 or older whose level of care (LOC) would otherwise result in admission to a skilled nursing facility within 30 days. Eligible participants must satisfy Medicaid financial requirements. Waiver services may include: case management, homemaker, chore services, respite, personal emergency response systems, adult day care, adult companion services, adult resident care, and augmented personal care (provided in residential care settings). Two hundred and ninety people (N=290) from this program were surveyed and included for analysis.

Home and Community Based Waiver for Persons with Physical Disabilities (HCBW-PD): The goals of this waiver are to provide the option of home and community-based services as an alternative to institutional nursing facility care and to allow for maximum

⁸ Program was missing for 18 cases

independence for persons with physical disabilities. There are no minimum or maximum age limits for this program. Eligible participants must satisfy Medicaid financial requirements, meet a nursing facility LOC, and be determined to be physically disabled using Social Security Administration criteria. Waiver services may include: case management, homemaker, respite, attendant care, specialized medical equipment and supplies, assisted living services, chore, environmental accessibility adaptations, homedelivered meals, and personal emergency response systems. Ninety-eight people (N=98) from this program were included for analysis.

New Jersey

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in New Jersey and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was eight hundred and forty-four (Total N=844). New Jersey used the criteria of six months (January 2017 through June 2017) of continuous eligibility in a specific program and continued enrollment in November 2017. Three program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants	
MTLSS Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS)	606	13,431	
MLTSS Nursing Facility Services (NFs)	120	8,981	
РАСЕ	111	808	
Total	844 ⁹	23,220	

Managed Long-Term Services and Supports Home and Community-Based Services (MLTSS HCBS): This program is funded through an 1115 Medicaid Waiver. It serves members of the five MCOs in New Jersey who reside in the community and are using MLTSS HCBS. MLTSS Home and Community-Based services include: adult family care, assisted living residence, assisted living programs, community residential services; comprehensive personal care home, personal care assistant (State Plan benefit), home-based supportive care, chore services, home health aide services and skilled home health services (State Plan benefit), adult medical day (State Plan benefit), pediatric medical day (State Plan benefit), social day services, supported day program services, structured day program services, personal emergency response system, home modifications,

⁹ Program was missing for 7 cases

vehicle modifications, assistive technology, specialized medical equipment (considered durable medical equipment, a State Plan benefit), non-medical transportation, care management, respite, home delivered meals, TBI behavioral management, caregiver/participant training, community transition services, cognitive rehabilitative therapy, medication dispensing device (set-up), occupational therapy, physical therapy, private duty nursing, speech therapy, language therapy, and hearing therapy. A total of six hundred six people (N=606) from this program were included in the sample, comprising 5 MCOs:

Aetna Better Health New Jersey (ABHNJ MLTSS/HCBS): N=120 Amerigroup New Jersey (Amerigroup MLTSS/HCBS): N=107 Horizon NJ Health (HNJH MLTSS/HCBS): N=129 United Healthcare Community Plan (UHC MLTSS/HCBS): N=141 WellCare Health Plans of NJ (WellCare MLTSS/HCBS): N=109

Managed Long-Term Services and Supports Nursing Facility Services (MLTSS NFs): Includes individuals enrolled in MLTSS and residing as custodial stay in a nursing facility or special care nursing facility. Members from all five MCOs are included in this sample. One hundred and twenty people (N=120) from this program were included in the analysis sample.

Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE): This program is funded through Medicare and Medicaid. It serves individuals who are 55 years of age and older who require nursing home level of care. Each PACE participant receives customized care that is planned and delivered by a coordinated, interdisciplinary team (IDT) of professionals working at the center. The team meets regularly with each participant and his or her representative in order to assess the participant's needs. A participant's care plan usually integrates some home care services from the team with several visits each week to the PACE center, which serves as the hub for medical care, rehabilitation, social activities and dining. PACE services include: round-the-clock services, home care, homemaker services, chore services, home health aide services, adult day health care services, personal emergency response system, home modification, durable medical equipment, transportation, IDT, social services, respite, home delivered meals, and an assisted living program. Individuals in this sample had six months of continuous PACE enrollment and were still enrolled in July 2017. One hundred and eleven people (N=111) from this program were included in the sample.

Ohio

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Ohio and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was eight hundred seventy-two (Total N=872). Three program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants		
MyCare Ohio Waiver	377	28,226		
PASSPORT Waiver	294	21,000		
Assisted Living (AL) Waiver	180	3,300		
Total	87210	52,526		

MyCare Ohio Waiver: MyCare Ohio is a demonstration project that integrates Medicare and Medicaid services into one program (operated by a managed care plan). In order to be eligible for MyCare Ohio an individual must be eligible for all parts of Medicare (Parts A, B and D); be fully eligible for Medicaid; be age 18 and older; and reside in one of the demonstration counties. In order to be eligible for the MyCare Ohio Waiver an individual must be enrolled in the MyCare Ohio demonstration at the time of application; be determined to meet nursing facility (NF)-based Level of Care (Intermediate or Skilled); be determined to require at least one waiver service monthly; and, in the absence of the waiver, require hospitalization or nursing facility placement to meet their needs. Three hundred and seventy-seven people (N=377) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

PASSPORT Waiver: This program provides services in home and community settings that allow individuals to remain in their home with supports appropriate to their needs, for as long as possible. To be eligible for the waiver an individual must be 60 or older, need hands-on assistance with daily living activities, meet Medicaid financial eligibility criteria and be able to remain safely at home with the agreement of their physician. Once enrolled, the individual works with a case manager to design a package of services to meet their assessed needs. Services are provided by local service providers who are certified by the state. Services available through the program may include personal care, home-delivered meals, adult day care, transportation, homemaker, chore, emergency response systems, nursing and respite. Two hundred and ninety-four people (N=294) in this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

¹⁰ Program was missing for 21 cases

Assisted Living (AL) Waiver: The AL waiver combines a home-like setting with personal support services to provide more intensive care than may be available through home care services. The AL waiver pays the cost of care in an assisted living facility for certain people with Medicaid, allowing the individual to use his or her resources for room and board expenses. To be eligible for the program an individual must be 21 or older, need hands-on assistance with daily living activities, meet Medicaid financial eligibility criteria, and be able to pay the state-established monthly room and board payment. Services are provided by licensed residential care facilities that are certified by the Ohio Department of Aging. One hundred and eighty people (N=180) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Oregon

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Oregon and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was six hundred and eighty-three (Total N=683). Four program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants		
Community First Choice (CFC), Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS)	424	25,230		
Community First Choice (CFC), Nursing Facilities (NFs)	87	3,322		
PACE	85	1,276		
OAA	87	~5,000		
Total	683	34,828		

Community First Choice (CFC), Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS): This program provides a broad range of services and support to people ages 65 and older and to people ages 18-64 who have a physical disability, qualify financially for Medicaid, require the assistance of a paid caregiver (does not have any or enough natural support to meet needs) and meet a minimum level of care needs such that they would be eligible for nursing facility services, although once eligible they have a choice of in-home care, community-based facility care or a nursing facility. Four hundred and twenty-four participants (N=424) receiving services in five settings (in-home, commercial adult foster care, regular residential care facilities, contracted residential care facilities and assisted living facilities) were interviewed and included for analysis.

Community First Choice (CFC), Nursing Facilities (NFs): Nursing facility services are authorized under the Medicaid State plan for individuals aged 21 and older. They provide long-term care services to individuals who are financially eligible for Medicaid, have a disability and require the level of care provided by a nursing facility. Eighty-seven (N=87) participants were included in the analysis sample.

Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE): The Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) is a managed care entity that provides medical, dental, mental health, social services, transportation, and long-term care services to persons age 55 and older on a prepaid capitated basis. PACE programs must have a signed agreement with the Oregon Department of Human Services and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Oregon has one PACE provider, Providence ElderPlace. Eighty-five people (N=85) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

OAA: The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, in-home services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Individuals must be receiving at least one "Cluster 1 Service," including adult day care/adult day health, chore, homemaker, personal care services, and/or home-delivered meals 2-3 or more times per week to be eligible for the NCI-AD survey. Eighty-seven people (N=87) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis

Tennessee

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Tennessee and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was eight hundred and fifty-eight (Total N=858). One program was included in the survey sample.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
TennCare Choices, Nursing Facilities (NFs)	315	9,829
TennCare Choices, Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS)	511	8,887
Total	85811	18,716

¹¹ MCO/Group was missing for 32 cases

TennCare Choices in LTSS ("CHOICES") is a managed long-term services and supports (MLTSS) program, funded through a Medicaid 1115 Demonstration Project. The program includes nursing facility (NF) services for residents of all ages and home and communitybased services (HCBS) for adults 21 years of age and older with a physical disability and older adults (age 65 and older). HCBS can be provided in the home, on the job, or in the community to assist with daily living activities and allow people to work and be actively involved in their local community. HCBS available in the CHOICES program includes an array of options that offer hands-on assistance with activities of daily living or instrumental activities of daily living, including personal care visits, attendant care, adult day care and home-delivered meals; the use of technology to help ensure safety and increase independence, such as personal emergency response systems, assistive technology, and minor home modifications; caregiver supports such as respite; pest control; and a variety of community-based residential alternatives for people who are no longer able to live alone and need more intensive support to continue living in the community. Consumer direction, using an employer authority model, is available for certain services, allowing members who elect this option more choice and control over the workers that provide their support. All service recipients were enrolled in one of three managed care organizations (MCOs): United HealthCare Community Plan, BlueCare and Amerigroup. Three hundred and fifteen (N=315) participants surveyed were receiving services in a nursing facility. Five hundred and eleven (N=511) participants were receiving home and community-based services (HCBS).

Texas

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Texas and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was one thousand seven hundred and eighty-three (Total N=1,783). Two program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants
STAR+PLUS Home and Community- Based Services (HCBS)	1,485	47,696
PACE	298	1,200
Total	1,783	48,896

STAR+PLUS Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS): STAR+PLUS HCBS provides an alternative to living in a nursing facility for members who are older or who have disabilities. Services include nursing, personal assistance services, adaptive aids, medical supplies, and minor home modifications. To be eligible for STAR+PLUS HCBS, a member

must be age 21 and older, and meet income, resource, and care requirements for Medicaid nursing facility care. A total of one thousand four hundred and eighty-five participants (N=1,485) were interviewed and included for analysis, with services provided by five MCOs: Amerigroup, Cigna-HealthSpring, Molina, Superior and UnitedHealthcare.

Program of All-Inclusive Care (PACE): This program is funded through Medicare and Medicaid. It serves individuals who are 55 years of age and older who require nursing home level of care. Each PACE participant receives customized care that is planned and delivered by a coordinated, interdisciplinary team (IDT) of professionals working at the center. The team meets regularly with each participant and his or her representative in order to assess the participant's needs. A participant's care plan usually integrates some home care services from the team with several visits each week to the PACE center, which serves as the hub for medical care, rehabilitation, social activities and dining. PACE services include: round-the-clock services, home care, homemaker services, chore services, home health aide services, adult day health care services, personal emergency response system, home modification, durable medical equipment, transportation, IDT, social services, respite, home delivered meals, and an assisted living program. Services are only available in designated areas of Amarillo/Canyon, El Paso, and Lubbock. Two hundred and ninety-eight participants (N=298) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Vermont

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Vermont and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was four hundred and twenty-eight (Total N=428). Two programs were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants		
Choices for Care (CFC)	406	1,672		
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Program	22	83		
Total	428	1,755		

Choices for Care (CFC): This program provides LTSS for individuals who are 18 years and over and meet nursing home level of care; service options in CFC include Adult Family Care (AFC), Flexible Choices and Traditional Home-Based. Four hundred and six people (N=406) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Program: The TBI Program provides rehabilitation and life skills services to help Vermonters with a moderate to severe TBI to live successfully in community-based settings. This is a rehabilitation-based, choice-driven program intended to support people to achieve their optimum level of independence and help them return to work. Twenty-two people (N=22) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Wisconsin

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Wisconsin and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was two thousand two hundred and fifty (Total N=2,250). Five programs were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Program	Number of surveys	Number of eligible participants		
Family Care Program	643	24,685		
IRIS (Include, Respect, I-Self Direct) Program	604	8,786		
Family Care Partnership Program	518	2,339		
Long-Stay Fee-for-Service (FFS) Medicaid Nursing Homes (NHs)	313	9,863		
PACE	172	455		
Total	2,250	46,128		

Family Care Program: Family Care is a long-term care program that helps older adults and adults with disabilities get the services they need to remain in their homes. This comprehensive and flexible program offers services to foster independence and quality of life for members while recognizing the need for interdependence and support. Six hundred and forty-three people (N=643) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

IRIS (Include, Respect, I-Self Direct) Program: IRIS is a self-directed program for Wisconsin's older adults and adults with disabilities. IRIS is built on the principles of self-determination and self-direction. That means participants have the freedom to decide how they want to live their lives. Six hundred and four people (N=604) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Family Care Partnership Program: The Family Care Partnership Program is an integrated health and long-term care program for older adults and people with disabilities. The program integrates health and long-term support services and includes home and

community-based services, physician services, and all medical care. Five hundred and eighteen people (N=518) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Long-Stay Fee-for-Service (FFS) Medicaid Nursing Homes (NHs): This group includes nursing home residents whose nursing home care is paid for by Fee-For-Service Medicaid and have been in the nursing home for at least 100 days according to the most recent admission date, excluding residents of state Veteran's Homes, residents with intellectual or developmental disabilities, and residents whose NH care is for a traumatic brain injury. Three hundred thirteen people (N=313) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE): This program is funded through Medicare and Medicaid. It serves individuals who are 55 years of age and older who require nursing home level of care. Each PACE participant receives customized care that is planned and delivered by a coordinated, interdisciplinary team (IDT) of professionals working at the center. The team meets regularly with each participant and his or her representative in order to assess the participant's needs. A participant's care plan usually integrates some home care services from the team with several visits each week to the PACE center, which serves as the hub for medical care, rehabilitation, social activities and dining. PACE services include: round-the-clock services, home care, homemaker services, chore services, home health aide services, adult day health care services, personal emergency response system, home modification, durable medical equipment, transportation, IDT, social services, respite, home delivered meals, and an assisted living program. Wisconsin's PACE program is available to people who live in Milwaukee, Racine, or Waukesha County. One hundred and seventy-two people (N=172) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Classification of Programs

To maximize the ability for cross-state comparisons, several techniques were used in the analysis and presentation of results. One of these techniques is classification of the multitude of states' programs into nine programmatic categories. Organization of programs into categories allows for more meaningful comparisons between states—that is, comparisons can be made between like categories across the states. A state that surveyed a program or programs in a particular category, for example, may want to compare its NCI-AD results to the results of other states that included programs in the same category.

It should be noted that, while different state programs classified into the same category share similarities and common elements, they can (and do) also differ on a number of characteristics. As such, even when making cross-state comparisons within the same category, caution should be exercised.

Below is a description of each of the nine programmatic categories used for analysis and presentation of results throughout this report:

- 1) **Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE).** This category includes Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), which provide comprehensive medical and social services to community-dwelling older adults, most of whom are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid benefits. To qualify for the program, an individual must be age 55 or older, live in the service area of a PACE site, be eligible for nursing home care, and able to live safely in the community. PACE is a program under Medicare, and states can elect to provide PACE services to Medicaid beneficiaries as an optional Medicaid benefit. For participants enrolled in a PACE program, the program serves as their sole source of Medicaid and Medicare benefits. Five states included programs in this category: Kansas, New Jersey, Oregon, Texas and Wisconsin. The number of people in Kansas included in this category, however, was too small to report separately (N=19).
- 2) Managed Long-Term Services and Supports Home and Community-Based Services (MLTSS HCBS). This category includes programs which use capitated Medicaid managed care organizations (MCOs) to deliver HCBS to eligible members. These programs may be operated under multiple federal Medicaid authorities, including 1915(a), 1915(b), 1915(c) and 1115. Most states designed their MLTSS samples to allow for some comparison between the MCOs in their state. Eight states included MTLSS HCBS programs in their survey samples: Delaware, Kansas, Minnesota, New Jersey, Ohio, Tennessee, Texas and Wisconsin.
- 3) **Combined Medicaid.** This category includes Medicaid-funded HCBS programs (waiver or state plan) that provide LTSS services to both older adults and adults with disabilities and do not differentiate between them once eligibility is established.

NOTE: The "Combined Medicaid" category encompasses an especially wide variety of programs; comparisons across states should be made with particular caution.

Nine states have programs that fall in this category. In Colorado, this includes the Elderly, Blind and Disabled Waiver and Colorado Choice Transitions. In Indiana, the Aged and Disabled Waiver and the Traumatic Brain Injury Waiver. In Minnesota, State Plan Funded Home Care. In Mississippi, this category includes the Assisted Living Waiver, and the Elderly and Disabled Waiver. In Nebraska, the Aged and Disabled Waiver and Personal Assistance Services. In Ohio, it includes the Assisted Living Waiver. In Oregon, this category is comprised of Community First Choice plan recipients whose services are provided in home and community-based settings (not residing in nursing facilities); in Vermont, the Choices for Care program, and in Wisconsin, the IRIS program.

- 4) Aging Medicaid. This category includes Medicaid-funded HCBS programs (waiver or state plan but excluding PACE programs) intended specifically to provide LTSS services to older adults. Three states have programs in this category: Minnesota, Nevada, and Ohio.
- 5) **Physical Disability (PD) Medicaid.** This category includes Medicaid-funded HCBS programs (waiver or state plan) intended specifically to provide LTSS services to people with physical and other non-intellectual disabilities/developmental disabilities (ID/DD), except for programs specifically serving people with traumatic or acquired brain injury. Three states have programs in this category: Minnesota, Mississippi, and Nevada.
- 6) **Brain Injury (BI) Medicaid.** This category includes Medicaid-funded HCBS programs (waiver or state plan) intended specifically to provide LTSS services to people with traumatic or acquired brain injury. Four states have programs in this category: Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, and Vermont. The number of people surveyed in Nebraska's TBI Waiver was too small to report separately, however (N=17).
- 7) Older Americans Act (OAA). The OAA was established by Congress in 1965 to provide services to seniors age 60 or older. The OAA program supports a range of home and community-based services, such as meals-on-wheels and other nutrition programs, in-home services, transportation, legal services, elder abuse prevention and caregiver support. These programs help seniors stay as independent as possible in their homes and communities. Generally, beneficiaries of programs in the OAA category are eligible to be included in the NCI-AD survey sample if they receive one or more "Cluster 1" services (such as home-delivered meals, adult day care/adult

day health, personal care services and chore or homemaker services) with approximate frequency of at least 2-3 or more times per week.

NOTE: For programs in the OAA category, some states limit their survey samples to recipients of a specific "Cluster 1" service (e.g. home-delivered meals), whereas other states' samples include recipients of a broader array of services.

Five states surveyed programs in the OAA category: Colorado, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, and Oregon.

- 8) **Nursing Facilities (NFs).** This category includes skilled and intermediate NFs, with consumers receiving services funded by Medicaid and delivered through either the traditional fee-for-service (FFS) or the managed care (MLTSS) model. The NF category includes people being served in institutional settings rather than through HCBS. Seven states Delaware, Indiana, Nebraska, New Jersey, Oregon, Tennessee, and Wisconsin included NF populations in their survey samples.
- 9) **Other**. Programs not fitting into one of the eight categories above, such as statefunded programs or programs providing services to other populations of recipients, are classified into the Other category. Indiana's CHOICE program, Kansas's Senior Care Act program, and Minnesota's Alternative Care program and Developmental Disabilities Waiver were all classified into the Other category.

Categories 1 through 8 are used for analysis and in presentation of results throughout this report. Due to the dissimilar nature of its programs, data for the "Other" category are not presented separately; however, they are included in calculations of state-wide averages as well as the overall NCI-AD average.

Figure 3 below shows classification of 2017-2018 state programs into the programmatic categories described above; the number of analyzed surveys in each category by state is also shown.

Figure 4 that follows contains calculations of margins of error for each state overall and each state's program categories, under two scenarios: assuming a very conservative 0.5 distribution of responses and assuming a somewhat less conservative 0.7 distribution of responses. Using the 0.5 distribution of responses is the most conservative distribution assumption for calculating margins of error that can be made and is usually used when no prior information is available about true population response distributions. When some prior information about distributions of responses in the population is available, it can be

used for calculating less conservative margins of error. Based on distributions observed in previously collected NCI-AD data, it is reasonable to assume a somewhat less conservative population response distribution of 0.7 for calculating margins of error.

Calculations in both scenarios use the total number of analyzed surveys in a given program category. It is important to note that the actual number of valid responses to an individual survey item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys. This is explained in more detail in the "Organization of Results" section below.

Figure 3. Classification of 2017-2018 state programs into program categories

State	Total N	NF	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	Other
со	998	N/A	N/A	N/A	EBD Waiver; CCT (N=806)	N/A	N/A	N/A	OAA (N=192)	N/A
DE	675	DSHP-Plus SNF (N=330)	N/A	DSHP-Plus HCBS (N=345)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
GA	803	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	OAA (N=803)	N/A
IN	1,438 ¹²	Medicaid SNF (N=337)	N/A	N/A	A&D & TBI Waivers (N=362)	N/A	N/A	N/A	OAA (N=364)	CHOICE (N=348)
KS	440 ¹³	N/A	PACE (N=19)*	FE Waiver; PD Waiver; TBI Waiver (N=207)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	OAA (N=130)	SCA (N=81)
MN	3,758	N/A	N/A	EW Waiver MLTSS (N=1,115)	State Plan HC (N=350)	EW Waiver FFS (N=337)	CADI Waiver; CAC Waiver (N=875)	BI Waiver (N=40)	N/A	AC; DD Waiver (N=1041)
MS	428	N/A	N/A	N/A	AL Waiver; ED Waiver (N=213)	N/A	IL Waiver (N=105)	TBI/SCI Waiver (N=110)	N/A	N/A
NE	672	NFs (N=305)	N/A	N/A	A&D Waiver; PAS (N=350)	N/A	N/A	TBI Waiver (N=17)*	N/A	N/A
NJ	844 ¹⁴	MLTSS NFs (N=120)	PACE (N=111)	MLTSS HCBS (N=606)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NV	40615	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	HCBW-FE (N=290)	HCBW-PD (N=98)	N/A	N/A	N/A
он	872 ¹⁶	N/A	N/A	MyCare Ohio Waiver (N=377)	AL Waiver (N=180)	PASSPORT Waiver (N=294)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OR	683	CFC NFs (N=87)	PACE (N=85)	N/A	CFC HCBS (N=424)	N/A	N/A	N/A	OAA (N=87)	N/A
TN	858 ¹⁷	TennCare CHOICES NFs (N=315)	N/A	TennCare CHOICES HCBS (N=511)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
тх	1,783	N/A	PACE (N=298)	STAR+PLUS HCBS (N=1485)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
VT	428	N/A	N/A	N/A	CFC (N=406)	N/A	N/A	TBI (N=22)	N/A	N/A
wi	2,250	Long-Stay FFS NHs (N=313)	PACE (N=172)	Family Care; Partnership (N=1161)	IRIS Program (N=604)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	17,336	1,807	685	5,807	3,695	921	1,078	189	1,576	1,470

* N is too small to report

¹² Program was missing for 27 cases submitted for analysis;

¹³ Program was missing for 3 cases submitted for analysis

¹⁴ Program was missing for 7 cases submitted for analysis

¹⁵ Program was missing for 18 cases submitted for analysis

¹⁶ Program was missing for 21 cases submitted for analysis

¹⁷ MCO/Group was missing for 32 cases submitted for analysis

					НСВ	S only				NFs only
State	Overall	Overall - HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	2.8% (3.1%)	2.8% (3.1%)	N/A	N/A	3.1% (3.4%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.4% (6.7%)	N/A
DE	3.3% (3.6%)	4.6% (5.0%)	N/A	4.6% (5.0%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.7% (5.1%)
GA	3.0% (3.3%)	3.0% (3.3%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.0% (3.3%)	N/A
IN	2.3% (2.6%)	2.7% (2.9%)	N/A	N/A	4.7% (5.1%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.6% (5.0%)	4.9% (5.3%)
KS	4.2% (4.6%)	4.2% (4.6%)	N/A*	6.2% (6.8%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7.8% (8.5%)	N/A
MN	1.4% (1.6%)	1.4% (1.6%)	N/A	2.6% (2.9%)	4.4% (4.8%)	4.9% (5.3%)	2.8% (3.1%)	13.2% (14.4%)	N/A	N/A
MS	4.3% (4.7%)	4.3% (4.7%)	N/A	N/A	6.1% (6.7%)	N/A	8.6% (9.4%)	8.0% (8.8%)	N/A	N/A
NE	3.4% (3.7%)	4.6% (5.0%)	N/A	N/A	4.7% (5.1%)	N/A	N/A	N/A*	N/A	5.0% (5.5%)
NJ	3.0% (3.3%)	3.3% (3.6%)	7.9% (8.6%)	3.6% (3.9%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.1% (8.9%)
NV	4.1% (4.5%)	4.1% (4.5%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.9% (5.3%)	8.5% (9.3%)	N/A	N/A	N/A
ОН	3.0% (3.3%)	3.0% (3.3%)	N/A	4.6% (5.0%)	6.5% (7.1%)	5.2% (5.7%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OR	3.4% (3.7%)	3.6% (4.0%)	9.4% (10.3%)	N/A	4.3% (4.7%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.6% (10.4%)	9.5% (10.4%)
TN	3.0% (3.3%)	3.9% (4.2%)	N/A	3.9% (4.2%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0% (5.4%)
тх	2.1% (2.3%)	2.1% (2.3%)	4.5% (4.9%)	2.3% (2.5%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
VT	3.8% (4.1%)	3.8% (4.1%)	N/A	N/A	3.9% (4.2%)	N/A	N/A	16.5% (18.0%)	N/A	N/A
WI	1.9% (2.0%)	2.0% (2.2%)	5.4% (5.9%)	2.6% (2.8%)	3.5% (3.9%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.0% (5.5%)
Total	0.7% (0.7%)	0.7% (0.8%)	3.1% (3.4%)	1.2% (1.3%)	1.5% (1.6%)	2.9% (3.2%)	2.6% (2.8%)	6.0% (6.6%)	2.2% (2.4%)	2.1% (2.3%)

Figure 4. Margins of error by state and program category (95% Confidence Level, assuming 0.7 distribution (assuming 0.5 distribution))

* Too small to report

Explanation of Results

The following sections of Part 1 of this report present analyzed results from the 2017-2018 data collection cycle of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey. Analysis results are presented in three sections:

"Section I: Demographic Characteristics" contains tables with demographic and servicerelated characteristics of service recipients in each state. These data are collected in the Background Information section of the NCI-AD Survey. States are listed alphabetically. All averages presented are weighted to account for any non-proportional sampling of programs and to "rebalance" the states' sample sizes according to their populations of survey-eligible service recipients.

"Section II: Outcome Tables and Comparisons Across States" contains detailed analyzed outcome data for each state and program category, with results presented in tabular format and outcomes grouped into domains. All averages are weighted, again to account for any non-proportional sampling of programs and to "rebalance" the states' sample sizes according to their populations of survey-eligible service recipients. Tables in this section display each state's weighted average, each state's observed (unweighted) number of valid responses to the associated survey question, as well as the overall weighted "NCI-AD Average" and the overall observed number of valid responses. In addition to displaying weighted state averages, tables in this section also contain weighted results for the eight program categories described in "Classification of Programs" in previous section (PACE, MLTSS HCBS, Combined Medicaid, Aging Medicaid, PD Medicaid, BI Medicaid, OAA, and NFs). Results for the "Other" program category are not presented separately; however, programs in this category are included in calculation of state averages and the overall NCI-AD Average. Weighted program category averages aggregated across all states that surveyed programs in that category are also displayed. Finally, tables also contain an "Overall – HCBS only" weighted average for each state and overall across all states. This average includes only HCBS-based program categories (PACE, MLTSS HCBS, Combined Medicaid, Aging Medicaid, PD Medicaid, BI Medicaid, and OAA); its calculation excludes NFs. For states that did not survey NF residents, the "Overall - HCBS only" average is identical to the state's weighted average.

NOTE: If a state's program category had fewer than 20 valid responses to the associated survey question, the state estimate for that program category is not reported.

Unless otherwise noted, results are presented in descending order of weighted state averages.

For selected outcomes in this section, data have been risk-adjusted; these outcomes are indicated in table titles.

Most tables in this section present proportions of service recipients for whom the outcome was present (binary results). For the purposes of these analyses, survey items with three or more possible response options were recoded to form binary variables (i.e. responses were collapsed, for example, an "always" response combined with a "most of the time" response). For details about recoded items and the rules on collapsing response options, please refer to Appendix A in Part 2 of the report. Unless otherwise stated, "don't know" and unclear/refused responses were excluded from both the numerator and denominator.

For further details on development and application of weights, collapsing survey responses, and risk-adjustment methodology, please see section on "Data Analysis" in Part 2 of this report. For un-adjusted, un-weighted, and un-collapsed data with all response options, including "don't know" and unclear/refused/no response categories, shown by state, please see Part 2, Appendix B.

"Section III: Outcome Charts by Program Category" contains an alternative presentation of analyzed outcome data across program categories, in graphical format. Charts in this section display only weighted program category averages aggregated across all states, the overall weighted "NCI-AD Average" and the weighted "Overall – HCBS only" average across all states.

II. Results

This section presents analyzed results from the 2017-2018 data collection cycle of the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey

Section I. Demographic Characteristics

Section I contains tables with demographic and service-related characteristics of service recipients in each state. These data are collected in the Background Information section of the NCI-AD Survey. States are listed alphabetically. All averages presented are weighted to account for any non-proportional sampling of programs and to "rebalance" the states' sample sizes according to their populations of survey-eligible service recipients.

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	63.9	934	63.9	n/a	n/a	61.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	74.3	n/a
DE	65.6	586	61.2	n/a	61.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.9
GA	76.2	694	76.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76.2	n/a
IN ^	68.5	1245	67.1	n/a	n/a	63.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	74.4	70.7
KS	67.4	391	67.4	n/a*	63.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.5	n/a
MN	69.8	3501	69.8	n/a	76.4	70.0	77.6	48.9	47.6	n/a	n/a
MS	63.7	412	63.7	n/a	n/a	65.1	n/a	60.3	47.8**	n/a	n/a
NE ^	64.0	546	58.5	n/a	n/a	58.6	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	70.6
NJ	69.0	741	67.3	72.5	66.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.2
NV	71.8	373	71.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.0	58.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	70.5	772	70.5	n/a	69.2	74.3	71.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	68.4	634	68.6	72.6	n/a	67.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.1	67.1
TN	68.1	762	64.7	n/a	64.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71.5
тх	62.0	1669	62.0	75.8	61.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	65.1	391	65.1	n/a	n/a	66.4	n/a	n/a	42.0	n/a	n/a
WI	68.1	2014	66.3	76.3	68.3	60.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76.7
NCI-AD average	67.8	15665	66.9	73.2	66.6	63.9	74.9	52.7	47.3	75.1	71.7

Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years old)

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall HCBS only							NFs only			
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	7%	998	7%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a
DE	12%	675	5%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%
GA	13%	793	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a
IN ^	8%	1351	5%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	13%
KS	10%	440	10%	n/a*	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a
MN	11%	3758	11%	n/a	10%	5%	16%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a
MS	5%	428	5%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	6%	0%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^	12%	615	6%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	18%
NJ	16%	841	11%	9%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%
NV	8%	406	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	8%	854	8%	n/a	9%	21%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	6%	682	6%	6%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	8%
TN	11%	853	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
тх	6%	1781	6%	6%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	9%	428	9%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a
WI	13%	2250	9%	26%	11%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%
NCI-AD average	10%	17153	8%	8%	9%	5%	11%	2%	0%	12%	18%

Table 2. Proportion of individuals 90 years of age and older

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Table 3.	Gender:	proportion	female
----------	---------	------------	--------

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	60%	997	60%	n/a	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
DE	66%	675	65%	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%
GA	70%	796	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
IN	65%	1391	68%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%	61%
KS	66%	433	66%	n/a*	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a
MN	67%	3758	67%	n/a	75%	68%	66%	55%	30%	n/a	n/a
MS	70%	428	70%	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a	57%	21%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^	61%	606	64%	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	59%
NJ	62%	841	62%	58%	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%
NV	69%	400	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	73%	868	73%	n/a	72%	73%	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	70%	683	71%	62%	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%	56%
TN	65%	855	66%	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%
тх	66%	1782	66%	67%	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	59%	428	59%	n/a	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a	n/a
WI	68%	2250	67%	80%	67%	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%
NCI-AD average	66%	17191	67%	64%	68%	68%	70%	56%	23%	66%	63%

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	American Indian	Asian	Black/African American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanic/Latino	Other
со	2%	6%	5%	0%	48%	16%	3%
DE	0%	2%	30%	1%	66%	5%	0%
GA	0%	1%	52%	0%	45%	1%	1%
IN ^	0%	0%	18%	0%	80%	1%	0%
KS	3%	1%	12%	0%	81%	3%	1%
MN	0%	13%	17%	0%	67%	2%	0%
MS	2%	2%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%
NE ^	4%	6%	9%	1%	68%	4%	1%
NJ	1%	4%	21%	1%	54%	15%	5%
NV	2%	2%	13%	1%	72%	4%	2%
ОН	1%	3%	27%	0%	65%	2%	3%
OR	1%	2%	4%	0%	91%	1%	0%
TN	0%	0%	25%	0%	66%	0%	2%
тх	2%	1%	18%	0%	25%	58%	4%
VT	1%	8%	1%	0%	87%	1%	2%
WI	1%	0%	20%	0%	65%	4%	1%
NCI-AD average	1%	4%	20%	0%	61%	10%	2%

Table 4. Race and ethnicity (by state only)

State	Single, never married	Married/domestic partner	Separated/divorced	Widowed
CO ^	24%	24%	28%	23%
DE	27%	18%	23%	32%
GA	11%	21%	21%	47%
IN ^	23%	21%	30%	26%
KS	23%	16%	30%	31%
MN ^^^	14%	57%	15%	14%
MS	28%	16%	24%	32%
NE ^^^	25%	22%	19%	34%
NJ ^^	32%	17%	13%	38%
NV ^^	27%	11%	33%	29%
ОН	17%	18%	31%	34%
OR	16%	16%	47%	21%
TN	29%	16%	18%	37%
тх	30%	15%	30%	25%
VT	22%	29%	23%	26%
WI ^^	22%	17%	28%	32%
NCI-AD average	23%	21%	26%	29%

Table 5. Marital status (by state only)

State	English	Spanish	Other
СО	94%	3%	3%
DE	98%	2%	0%
GA	99%	0%	1%
IN	100%	0%	0%
КЅ	98%	0%	2%
MN	81%	1%	18%
MS	100%	0%	0%
NE ^	91%	2%	8%
NJ	76%	13%	11%
NV	98%	1%	0%
ОН	92%	1%	7%
OR	98%	1%	1%
TN ^	99%	0%	0%
тх	61%	38%	1%
VT	88%	0%	12%
WI	96%	2%	1%
NCI-AD average	88%	5%	6%

Table 6. Primary language (by state only) ¹⁸

¹⁸ **CAUTION**: States varied in degree and types of accommodations offered to non-English-speaking survey participants; use caution when interpreting results

State	Metropolitan	Micropolitan	Small town	Rural
со	80%	4%	12%	4%
DE	73%	25%	2%	0%
GA	75%	14%	6%	5%
IN ^^	80%	14%	3%	2%
KS ^	52%	22%	16%	11%
MN	69%	15%	9%	8%
MS	29%	43%	20%	8%
NE ^	48%	19%	22%	11%
NJ ^	99%	1%	0%	0%
NV	80%	15%	4%	0%
ОН	76%	18%	5%	1%
OR	67%	26%	5%	2%
TN	62%	19%	13%	5%
тх	96%	3%	1%	0%
VT	32%	24%	19%	24%
wi	70%	9%	14%	7%
NCI-AD average	72%	14%	9%	5%

Table 7. Type of residential area (by state only)¹⁹

¹⁹ Categories created using zip codes and corresponding RUCA codes: **Metropolitan** - Metropolitan area core, high commuting, low commuting; **Micropolitan** - Micropolitan area core, high commuting; **Rural** - rural area

State	Own/family house/apt	Senior living apt/complex	Group home/adult family home/foster home/host home	Assisted living facility/residential care facility	Nursing facility	Homeless/ temporary shelter	Other
CO ^	86%	3%	0%	4%	1%	0%	6%
DE	47%	4%	1%	5%	43%	0%	0%
GA	91%	8%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
IN ^	51%	1%	1%	5%	41%	0%	0%
кѕ	83%	7%	1%	10%	0%	0%	0%
MN	56%	6%	9%	24%	4%	0%	1%
MS	95%	2%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
NE ^	41%	5%	0%	1%	48%	1%	3%
NJ	39%	8%	7%	6%	39%	0%	1%
NV	54%	4%	17%	6%	0%	0%	18%
ОН	76%	13%	0%	10%	0%	0%	1%
OR	57%	2%	9%	26%	4%	0%	1%
TN	40%	2%	1%	3%	53%	0%	1%
тх	87%	5%	1%	5%	1%	0%	1%
νт	82%	9%	4%	0%	1%	0%	4%
wi	59%	3%	2%	10%	26%	0%	0%
NCI-AD average	63%	5%	4%	10%	16%	0%	2%

Table 8. Type of residence (by state only)

State	Alone	Spouse/partner	Other family	Friend/s	Live-in PCA	Others
CO ^^	36%	21%	26%	1%	1%	5%
DE	18%	13%	25%	1%	1%	44%
GA	55%	22%	22%	1%	0%	1%
IN ^^	35%	13%	13%	0%	1%	39%
KS	62%	16%	17%	1%	1%	5%
MN ^^^	8%	7%	4%	4%	0%	9%
MS	38%	14%	44%	2%	3%	3%
NE ^	23%	8%	17%	1%	3%	42%
NJ	22%	10%	18%	1%	4%	50%
NV ^	30%	7%	14%	0%	3%	38%
ОН	59%	17%	24%	2%	1%	1%
OR	34%	13%	22%	4%	12%	28%
TN	16%	7%	20%	1%	1%	54%
тх	31%	13%	52%	2%	4%	6%
VT	31%	27%	35%	2%	7%	5%
WI	34%	12%	19%	2%	4%	35%
NCI-AD average	29%	12%	21%	2%	2%	21%

Table 9. Who the person lives with (by state only)

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	9%	995	9%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a
DE	7%	656	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%
GA	3%	785	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a
IN ^^^	10%	1077	8%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	11%
KS	7%	437	7%	n/a*	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a
MN	10%	3729	10%	n/a	6%	6%	16%	8%	3%	n/a	n/a
MS	6%	415	6%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	2%	3%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^	6%	580	4%	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	8%
NJ	3%	823	4%	2%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%
NV ^^^	4%	278	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	5%	860	5%	n/a	3%	9%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	10%	663	10%	14%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	14%
TN ^^	6%	769	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
тх	6%	1782	6%	3%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	11%	418	11%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a
WI	8%	2201	8%	6%	8%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%
NCI-AD average	7%	16468	7%	9%	6%	9%	11%	6%	4%	4%	8%

Table 10. Proportion of people whose address changed in the past 6 months

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	67%	957	67%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
DE	63%	648	69%	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%
GA	58%	782	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
IN ^^^	76%	1054	83%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%	69%
KS	77%	433	77%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
MN ^^^	79%	2311	79%	n/a	28%	67%	53%	100%	100%	n/a	n/a
MS	55%	413	55%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	68%	94%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	67%	524	66%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	67%
NJ	70%	836	62%	65%	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
NV ^^^	38%	307	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	72%	833	72%	n/a	56%	78%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR ^	66%	641	65%	67%	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%	74%
TN ^^	57%	770	66%	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
тх	80%	1770	80%	51%	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	62%	407	62%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	41%	n/a	n/a
WI ^^	41%	1937	41%	0%	34%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*
NCI-AD average	65%	14623	67%	57%	64%	68%	83%	89%	92%	60%	67%

Table 11. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of physical disability

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	Overall		HCBS only								NFs only
	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	10%	978	10%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
DE	20%	643	16%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%
GA	6%	780	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a
IN ^^^	10%	1064	11%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	10%
KS	9%	431	9%	n/a*	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
MN ^^^	8%	2308	8%	n/a	17%	4%	13%	9%	3%	n/a	n/a
MS	9%	414	9%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	3%	1%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	19%	457	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	23%
NJ	30%	821	22%	6%	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%
NV ^^	28%	339	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	11%	845	11%	n/a	11%	18%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR ^	16%	648	17%	20%	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	11%
TN ^	43%	775	24%	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%
тх	17%	1764	17%	27%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT ^	25%	393	25%	n/a	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a
WI	26%	2246	19%	33%	22%	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
NCI-AD average	18%	14906	14%	20%	17%	13%	13%	7%	1%	7%	31%

Table 12. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease or other dementia

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	15%	980	15%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
DE	13%	643	13%	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
GA	0%	781	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a
IN ^^	36%	1216	58%	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	10%
KS	10%	430	10%	n/a*	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
MN ^^^	9%	1600	9%	n/a	1%	4%	0%	14%	100%	n/a	n/a
MS	11%	414	11%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	21%	42%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	15%	469	14%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	15%
NJ	12%	825	17%	1%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
NV ^^	2%	331	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	12%	844	12%	n/a	15%	7%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	16%	651	16%	14%	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	10%
TN ^^	9%	758	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%
тх	19%	1744	19%	13%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT ^	14%	397	14%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
WI	2%	2246	2%	0%	2%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NCI-AD average	13%	14329	16%	9%	13%	20%	8%	15%	60%	17%	8%

 Table 13. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of acquired or traumatic brain injury

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	8%	980	8%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
DE ^	10%	631	14%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
GA	2%	781	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
IN ^^	35%	1201	59%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	4%
KS	2%	429	2%	n/a*	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a
MN ^^^	4%	2308	4%	n/a	1%	2%	0%	12%	13%	n/a	n/a
MS	8%	407	8%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	9%	5%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	13%	480	22%	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	6%
NJ	2%	821	2%	1%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
NV ^^	3%	331	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	4%	855	4%	n/a	3%	6%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	8%	651	9%	7%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	0%
TN ^^	3%	761	4%	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
тх	14%	1733	14%	3%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT ^^	6%	381	6%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a
WI	0%	2206	0%	0%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NCI-AD average	8%	14956	11%	3%	6%	16%	4%	10%	6%	15%	3%

Table 14. Proportion of people with a diagnosis of intellectual or other developmental disability

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	Non-ambulatory	Moves self with wheelchair	Moves self with other aids	Moves self without aids
со	62%	2%	21%	12%
DE	15%	39%	43%	25%
GA	4%	20%	66%	35%
IN ^^^	8%	43%	51%	21%
KS	2%	17%	61%	34%
MN ^^^	8%	8%	9%	8%
MS	12%	32%	55%	38%
NE ^^^	15%	31%	34%	19%
NJ	18%	33%	38%	18%
NV ^^^	1%	36%	52%	9%
ОН	3%	26%	72%	43%
OR	5%	28%	56%	30%
TN	28%	30%	40%	9%
тх	11%	25%	59%	28%
VT	12%	23%	43%	20%
WI	9%	33%	58%	23%
NCI-AD average	13%	24%	42%	21%

Table 15. Level of mobility (by state only)

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	31%	987	31%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a
DE	24%	653	23%	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26%
GA	18%	765	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a
IN ^^^	25%	1059	34%	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%	15%
KS	34%	433	34%	n/a*	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a
MN	19%	3710	19%	n/a	21%	19%	18%	24%	30%	n/a	n/a
MS	24%	415	24%	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a	21%	13%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	29%	459	29%	n/a	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	29%
NJ ^	18%	801	21%	23%	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
NV ^^^	27%	313	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	19%	851	19%	n/a	21%	19%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	39%	664	40%	24%	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%	29%
TN ^	17%	774	16%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%
тх	37%	1771	37%	17%	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT ^	29%	402	29%	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a
WI	26%	2181	27%	14%	27%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%
NCI-AD average	25%	16238	27%	21%	28%	33%	18%	23%	17%	25%	19%

Table 16. Proportion of people with history of frequent falls (more than two falls in a six-month period)

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Table 17.	Proportion	of people	receiving N	/ledicare
-----------	------------	-----------	-------------	------------------

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	74%	993	74%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
DE	85%	675	79%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%
GA	85%	773	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a
IN ^^^	88%	1123	88%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	88%
KS	91%	432	91%	n/a*	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a
MN ^^^	71%	2311	71%	n/a	98%	75%	100%	63%	83%	n/a	n/a
MS	91%	428	91%	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a	85%	80%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	88%	443	79%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	95%
Ŋ	87%	832	86%	95%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
NV ^	68%	384	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	91%	832	91%	n/a	100%	92%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	82%	678	82%	89%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	80%
TN	83%	837	78%	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
тх	72%	1775	72%	96%	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	74%	411	74%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a
WI	88%	2250	86%	99%	89%	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%
NCI-AD average	82%	15177	82%	92%	84%	81%	78%	69%	77%	91%	90%

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	0 – 5 months	6 months – less than 1 year	1 year – less than 3 years	3 or more years
со	23%	1%	28%	48%
DE	8%	11%	37%	44%
GA	6%	10%	35%	50%
IN ^^^	22%	11%	31%	35%
кѕ	5%	11%	36%	47%
MN ^^^	34%	3%	9%	54%
MS	3%	9%	34%	55%
NE ^^^	1%	10%	43%	46%
NJ	1%	7%	67%	25%
NV	5%	13%	47%	34%
ОН ^^^	8%	14%	53%	25%
OR ^	18%	18%	32%	33%
TN	5%	12%	39%	44%
тх	0%	0%	43%	56%
VT	3%	17%	31%	49%
WI	10%	13%	31%	45%
NCI-AD average	10%	9%	36%	45%

Table 18. Length of LTSS services in current program (by state only)

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
CO ^^^	n/a*	6	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
DE	7%	658	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%
GA	2%	780	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
IN ^^^	16%	39	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a*
KS	5%	436	5%	n/a*	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a
MN	2%	3745	2%	n/a	2%	1%	4%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a
MS	22%	413	22%	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	25%	19%**	n/a	n/a
NE ^^^	15%	426	18%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	13%
NJ	15%	837	17%	0%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%
NV ^	9%	377	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	18%	844	18%	n/a	31%	8%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	3%	683	3%	6%	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	3%
TN	27%	826	21%	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%
тх	44%	1782	44%	10%	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	10%	426	10%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	36%	n/a	n/a
WI	24%	2250	11%	22%	12%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%
NCI-AD average	16%	14528	16%	8%	24%	10%	3%	8%	16%	1%	31%

Table 19. Proportion of people who have a legal guardian

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown values

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Section II. Outcome Tables and Comparisons Across States

Section II contains detailed analyzed outcome data for each state and program category, with results presented in tabular format and outcomes grouped into domains. All averages are weighted, again to account for any non-proportional sampling of programs and to "rebalance" the states' sample sizes according to their populations of survey-eligible service recipients. Tables in this section display each state's weighted average, each state's observed (unweighted) number of valid responses to the associated survey question, as well as the overall weighted "NCI-AD Average" and the overall observed number of valid responses. In addition to displaying weighted state averages, tables in this section also contain weighted results for the eight program categories described in section on "Classification of Programs" (PACE, MLTSS HCBS, Combined Medicaid, Aging Medicaid, PD Medicaid, BI Medicaid, OAA, and Nursing Facilities (NFs)). Results for the "Other" program category are not presented separately; however, programs in this category are included in calculation of state averages and the overall NCI-AD Average. Weighted program category averages aggregated across all states that surveyed programs in that category are also displayed. Finally, tables also contain an "Overall - HCBS only" weighted average for each state and overall across all states. This average includes only HCBS-based program categories (PACE, MLTSS HCBS, Combined Medicaid, Aging Medicaid, PD Medicaid, BI Medicaid, and OAA); its calculation excludes Nursing Facilities. For states that did not survey Nursing Facility residents, the "Overall - HCBS only" average is identical to the state's weighted average.

NOTE: If a state's program category had fewer than 20 valid responses to the associated survey question, the state estimate for that program category is not reported.

Unless otherwise noted, results are presented in descending order of weighted state averages.

For selected outcomes in this section, data have been risk-adjusted; these outcomes are indicated in table titles.

Most tables in this section present proportions of service recipients for whom the outcome was present (binary results). For the purposes of these analyses, survey items with three or more possible response options were recoded to form binary variables (i.e. responses were collapsed, for example, an "always" response combined with a "most of the time" response). For details about recoded items and the rules on collapsing response options,

please refer to Appendix A in Part 2 of the report. Unless otherwise stated, "don't know" and unclear/refused responses were excluded from both the numerator and denominator.

For further details on development and application of weights, collapsing survey responses, and risk-adjustment methodology, please see section on "Data Analysis" in Part 2 of this report. For un-adjusted, un-weighted, and un-collapsed data with all response options, including "don't know" and unclear/refused/no response categories, shown by state, please see Part 2, Appendix B.

Community Participation

People are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There is one Community Participation indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There are three survey items that correspond to the Community Participation domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	60%	1181	52%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	67%
NV	59%	370	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	57%	406	57%	n/a*	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%	n/a
MN	51%	2919	51%	n/a	47%	57%	46%	50%	66%	n/a	n/a
OR	51%	591	51%	56%	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%	47%
TN	50%	560	47%	n/a	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
DE	49%	537	54%	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%
MS	48%	367	48%	n/a	n/a	48%	n/a	43%	57%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	47%	772	47%	n/a	49%	47%	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	47%	2012	47%	62%	45%	49%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
GA	45%	662	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a
со	45%	855	45%	n/a	n/a	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	44%	n/a
NJ	44%	606	47%	54%	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	37%
VT	41%	330	41%	n/a	n/a	41%	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a
тх	38%	1451	38%	38%	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	37%	477	37%	n/a	n/a	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	36%
NCI-AD Average	49%	14096	48%	52%	45%	49%	46%	49%	58%	50%	53%

Table 20. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	N (observed)	Cost/ money	Transpor- tation	Accessibility/ lack of equipment	Health limitations	Not enough help/staffing/ personal assistance	Feeling unwelcome in community	Feeling unsafe	No community activities available	Lack of info about activities	Other
со	501	35%	33%	14%	82%	12%	5%	9%	6%	14%	10%
DE	267	14%	25%	10%	64%	11%	3%	4%	8%	14%	11%
GA	318	31%	45%	10%	65%	13%	2%	3%	8%	15%	4%
IN	554	10%	40%	12%	64%	7%	1%	2%	2%	4%	8%
KS	173	21%	37%	10%	74%	5%	4%	2%	6%	2%	10%
MN	1317	19%	37%	10%	68%	13%	4%	3%	3%	11%	10%
MS	180	31%	34%	28%	89%	7%	5%	11%	8%	10%	4%
NE	261	31%	58%	16%	65%	38%	12%	4%	6%	15%	8%
NJ	320	18%	31%	9%	62%	13%	2%	2%	6%	17%	13%
NV	154	27%	37%	11%	51%	3%	0%	2%	1%	3%	9%
ОН	432	21%	38%	11%	82%	8%	2%	5%	5%	12%	5%
OR	292	30%	49%	15%	72%	14%	6%	5%	6%	13%	6%
TN	307	12%	29%	11%	79%	11%	0%	0%	1%	5%	3%
тх	1038	17%	25%	13%	87%	6%	3%	7%	4%	8%	12%
VT	196	26%	43%	32%	70%	24%	8%	11%	10%	22%	13%
WI	1111	20%	30%	13%	78%	11%	6%	5%	5%	9%	6%
NCI-AD Average	7421	21%	36%	13%	76%	10%	4%	5%	5%	10%	8%

Table 21. Reasons people are not as active in the community as they would like to be

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	72%	407	72%	n/a*	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a
NV	69%	364	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	66%	1193	61%	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%	69%
WI	64%	1985	63%	73%	62%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
MN	63%	2911	63%	n/a	59%	67%	62%	64%	79%	n/a	n/a
TN	63%	551	65%	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%
ОН	62%	766	62%	n/a	61%	71%	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	62%	532	69%	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
NJ	59%	593	65%	77%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%
OR	58%	580	58%	69%	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%	61%
GA	58%	664	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
со	58%	840	58%	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	47%	n/a
NE	57%	461	58%	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	56%
тх	57%	1436	57%	58%	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	54%	329	54%	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a
MS	52%	368	52%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	56%	68%**	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	61%	13980	61%	67%	61%	59%	62%	63%	70%	57%	63%

Table 22. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to²⁰ (*risk-adjusted*)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁰ New question added in 2017-2018

Choice and Decision-Making

People are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives

There is one Choice and Decision-Making indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that supports them and with whom they spend time.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Choice and Decision-Making domain.

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	53%	53	53%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
NE	43%	103	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	42%
OR	40%	85	56%	n/a*	n/a	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	28%
NV	33%	76	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	29%	192	n/a*	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30%
MN	27%	176	27%	n/a	30%	n/a*	27%	29%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
TN	26%	149	38%	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%
WI	24%	178	27%	n/a*	26%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%
NJ	21%	97	39%	n/a*	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%
тх	17%	87	17%	16%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	15%	250	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	14%
со	n/a*	18	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
GA	n/a*	2	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
KS	n/a*	2	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
ОН	n/a*	8	n/a*	n/a	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	n/a*	6	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	22%	1,482	32%	20%	27%	49%	27%	33%	n/a*	n/a*	19%

Table 23. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting²¹ and have roommates)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

** NOTE: Mississippi's TBI program includes both individuals with a brain injury and individuals with a spinal cord injury

²¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall	HCBS only								
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	97%	411	97%	n/a*	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a
MS	97%	377	97%	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a	96%	90%**	n/a	n/a
GA	95%	676	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
OR	94%	602	95%	92%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	91%
ОН	94%	775	94%	n/a	97%	90%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	93%	864	93%	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
MN	92%	3002	92%	n/a	90%	93%	96%	84%	79%	n/a	n/a
VT	89%	341	89%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
IN	89%	1249	96%	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	82%
NV	89%	373	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	89%	2037	92%	90%	92%	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
NE	87%	479	92%	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	81%
NJ	86%	603	92%	92%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
TN	85%	577	92%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
DE	83%	550	89%	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
тх	83%	1456	83%	96%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	91%	14,372	92%	94%	90%	94%	93%	87%	88%	97%	80%

Table 24. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only								
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
GA	98%	675	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a
MS	97%	376	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	94%	95%**	n/a	n/a
KS	95%	413	95%	n/a*	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a
ОН	92%	779	92%	n/a	92%	45%	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
СО	92%	865	92%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a
VT	89%	339	89%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
тх	84%	1453	84%	80%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	82%	570	92%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%
MN	81%	2967	81%	n/a	83%	95%	76%	81%	61%	n/a	n/a
NV	77%	375	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	75%	599	78%	62%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	43%
WI	74%	2035	82%	54%	79%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%
NJ	73%	602	89%	92%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%
IN	72%	1248	94%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	48%
NE	71%	468	88%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	51%
DE	68%	544	88%	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40%
NCI-AD average	82%	14,308	87%	76%	86%	87%	86%	86%	88%	97%	48%

Table 25. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to

.....

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	91%	33	91%	n/a*	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
ОН	85%	171	85%	n/a	80%	89%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	83%	902	83%	n/a	84%	84%	83%	82%	86%	n/a	n/a
NV	82%	148	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	82%	88	82%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
OR	79%	406	86%	87%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	56%
со	75%	58	75%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
тх	66%	123	66%	40%	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	58%	363	84%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	56%
WI	58%	601	67%	51%	67%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
NE	52%	219	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	51%
DE	50%	280	57%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	48%
TN	47%	207	64%	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%
NJ	35%	190	70%	n/a*	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
VT	n/a*	18	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	64%	3,819	79%	72%	74%	84%	82%	82%	82%	76%	50%

Table 26. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting²²)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

** NOTE: Mississippi's TBI program includes both individuals with a brain injury and individuals with a spinal cord injury

²² Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Relationships

People have friends and relationships and do not feel lonely.

There is one Relationship indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Relationships domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	95%	961	93%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%	97%
MS	91%	360	91%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	89%	91%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	91%	735	91%	n/a	91%	93%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	90%	505	92%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
MN	89%	2802	89%	n/a	92%	89%	88%	89%	93%	n/a	n/a
тх	89%	1305	89%	94%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	88%	395	88%	n/a*	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
WI	87%	1913	86%	91%	86%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%
со	85%	785	85%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a
NJ	85%	533	88%	78%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%
NV	84%	303	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	82%	528	82%	87%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	81%
VT	81%	316	81%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a
GA	81%	606	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a
NE	77%	416	72%	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
DE	75%	495	68%	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
NCI-AD Average	88%	12958	88%	89%	89%	87%	88%	89%	90%	85%	89%

Table 27. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with them) (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	N (observed)	Availability of transportation	Accessibility	Staffing/personal assistance unavailable	Health limitations	Someone prevents from/ rules against	Other
со	109	30%	29%	0%	33%	3%	38%
DE	127	28%	26%	1%	37%	1%	24%
GA	73	54%	45%	11%	25%	0%	15%
IN	62	16%	53%	0%	18%	1%	20%
KS	44	53%	27%	3%	46%	0%	13%
MN	325	34%	26%	1%	14%	3%	31%
MS	33	17%	2%	0%	56%	1%	56%
NE	99	30%	25%	9%	53%	1%	53%
NJ	71	22%	48%	3%	17%	0%	41%
NV	48	17%	27%	0%	17%	0%	42%
ОН	66	29%	40%	0%	21%	5%	39%
OR	114	38%	34%	7%	21%	2%	32%
TN	55	18%	37%	6%	28%	0%	20%
тх	119	13%	20%	2%	33%	0%	55%
VT	63	37%	29%	13%	32%	3%	32%
WI	258	22%	34%	1%	24%	5%	34%
NCI-AD Average	1666	29%	32%	3%	27%	2%	35%

Table 28. Reasons people aren't always able to see or talk to friends and family (who do not live with them)

Satisfaction

People are satisfied with their everyday lives – where they live, who works with them, and what they do during the day.

There are three Satisfaction indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live.
- 2. Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day.
- 3. Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them.

There are seven survey items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain.

	01	verall	HCBS only								NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
GA	92%	679	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
MN	86%	3037	86%	n/a	83%	86%	88%	81%	86%	n/a	n/a
тх	86%	1451	86%	87%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	85%	410	85%	n/a*	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a
MS	85%	387	85%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	92%	92%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	84%	780	84%	n/a	83%	83%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	83%	369	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	82%	603	84%	84%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%
со	81%	867	81%	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a
VT	79%	351	79%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a
TN	79%	576	86%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%
IN	79%	1073	83%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	80%
OR	78%	608	79%	86%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	67%
WI	77%	2073	77%	79%	77%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
NE	74%	481	70%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	75%
DE	73%	562	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%
NCI-AD Average	82%	14307	83%	83%	83%	80%	87%	84%	91%	85%	77%

Table 29. Proportion of people who like where they are living (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	N (Observed)	Accessibility of house/ building	Feels unsafe in/dislikes neighborhood	Feels unsafe in residence	Residence/ building needs repair/upkeep	Doesn't feel like home	Layout/size of residence/ building	Problems with neighbors/ housemates/other residents
со	139	16%	22%	13%	24%	22%	16%	18%
DE	168	5%	13%	5%	13%	33%	7%	12%
GA	37	0%	16%	6%	16%	9%	4%	28%
IN	202	5%	2%	2%	6%	33%	7%	11%
KS	50	10%	15%	0%	17%	21%	15%	12%
MN	482	8%	4%	5%	15%	24%	11%	15%
MS	46	14%	25%	1%	16%	16%	8%	15%
NE	121	11%	9%	6%	22%	33%	14%	21%
Ŋ	117	7%	10%	3%	4%	29%	11%	14%
NV	57	11%	7%	4%	11%	16%	7%	12%
ОН	125	9%	20%	7%	25%	23%	12%	17%
OR	158	10%	11%	9%	9%	22%	10%	18%
TN	114	4%	3%	4%	5%	42%	5%	6%
тх	199	11%	20%	14%	12%	13%	14%	12%
νт	65	15%	12%	9%	17%	12%	18%	29%
WI	482	11%	10%	9%	12%	34%	10%	14%
NCI-AD Average	2562	9%	12%	7%	13%	26%	11%	15%

Table 30a. Reasons for not liking where people are living

State	N (Observed)	Problems with staff	Insufficient amount/type of staff	Wants more independence/ control	Wants more privacy	Wants to be closer to family/friends	Feels isolated from community/ feels lonely	Other
со	139	13%	7%	10%	14%	6%	6%	19%
DE	168	13%	6%	19%	17%	19%	16%	18%
GA	37	0%	0%	10%	13%	2%	17%	23%
IN	202	12%	12%	12%	3%	8%	4%	21%
кѕ	50	3%	6%	8%	19%	8%	8%	17%
MN	482	12%	8%	11%	7%	9%	7%	31%
MS	46	15%	0%	2%	9%	1%	7%	15%
NE	121	6%	12%	27%	15%	23%	29%	21%
NJ	117	17%	7%	12%	13%	19%	3%	42%
NV	57	19%	9%	9%	11%	7%	2%	32%
ОН	125	4%	1%	7%	8%	3%	10%	23%
OR	158	25%	9%	7%	12%	7%	7%	20%
TN	114	12%	6%	17%	15%	10%	11%	21%
тх	199	10%	2%	10%	7%	5%	3%	46%
νт	65	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	7%	26%
wi	482	13%	7%	8%	7%	10%	9%	22%
NCI-AD Average	2562	12%	7%	10%	9%	8%	8%	25%

Table 30b. Reasons for not liking where people are living (continued)

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
DE	42%	554	36%	n/a	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
NE	37%	480	37%	n/a	n/a	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	39%
WI	37%	2057	38%	27%	38%	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%
со	36%	864	36%	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	n/a
TN	36%	558	28%	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%
IN	35%	1059	27%	n/a	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	38%
NV	32%	370	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	32%	348	32%	n/a	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a	n/a
OR	32%	607	31%	30%	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	43%
тх	29%	1449	29%	23%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	28%	596	23%	25%	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%
ОН	27%	777	27%	n/a	30%	25%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	27%	2982	27%	n/a	29%	24%	34%	31%	37%	n/a	n/a
MS	26%	385	26%	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a	25%	22%**	n/a	n/a
KS	22%	408	22%	n/a*	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a
GA	18%	675	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	31%	14169	29%	26%	30%	31%	29%	29%	26%	25%	39%

Table 31. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Table 32.	Where	people	would	prefer	to live
-----------	-------	--------	-------	--------	---------

State	N (Observed)	Own or different own house/apt	Family member's house/apt	Assisted living/ residential care facility	Group/adult family home/ shared living	Nursing facility	Other
со	282	82%	5%	4%	1%	0%	8%
DE	231	65%	16%	4%	0%	3%	11%
GA	64	48%	8%	38%	0%	1%	5%
IN	294	75%	7%	15%	0%	2%	2%
КЅ	67	79%	4%	0%	1%	0%	15%
MN	834	58%	12%	13%	1%	0%	15%
MS	95	87%	8%	4%	0%	0%	1%
NE	159	63%	16%	8%	2%	1%	11%
NJ	168	65%	16%	8%	0%	0%	11%
NV	95	62%	17%	2%	7%	0%	12%
ОН	189	79%	6%	4%	0%	0%	11%
OR	194	65%	6%	12%	5%	0%	11%
TN	173	80%	8%	4%	1%	2%	6%
тх	417	73%	3%	6%	0%	0%	17%
νт	95	63%	4%	5%	1%	0%	26%
wi	724	66%	12%	6%	1%	1%	14%
NCI-AD Average	4081	71%	8%	8%	1%	1%	11%

	0v	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	67%	410	67%	n/a*	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
ОН	65%	765	65%	n/a	71%	59%	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	65%	2990	65%	n/a	64%	64%	56%	66%	68%	n/a	n/a
NV	65%	372	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	61%	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	64%	1441	64%	69%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	62%	1216	64%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%	60%
VT	59%	339	59%	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a
WI	57%	2032	57%	74%	56%	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%
OR	57%	597	57%	68%	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	55%
NJ	56%	596	62%	58%	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%
со	56%	856	56%	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a
TN	54%	570	56%	n/a	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
MS	54%	375	54%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	65%	70%**	n/a	n/a
GA	50%	662	50%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a
NE	49%	479	50%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	48%
DE	49%	543	48%	n/a	48%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
NCI-AD Average	60%	14243	61%	67%	63%	57%	57%	67%	69%	58%	55%

Table 33. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall					HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	54%	382	42%	n/a	n/a	41%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	67%
IN	45%	663	30%	n/a	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%	61%
TN	39%	373	39%	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	37%
WI	32%	1312	27%	49%	31%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
MN	32%	2074	32%	n/a	32%	24%	30%	37%	32%	n/a	n/a
NJ	30%	390	25%	29%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%
VT	29%	219	29%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
со	28%	547	28%	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a
NV	28%	285	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	27%	338	25%	38%	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	41%
DE	26%	389	11%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	44%
ОН	24%	624	24%	n/a	21%	46%	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	23%	94	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a
KS	22%	276	22%	n/a*	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a
MS	20%	263	20%	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	16%	13%**	n/a	n/a
тх	13%	911	13%	45%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	29%	9140	25%	36%	23%	27%	26%	32%	21%	18%	53%

Table 34. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall					HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	91%	278	91%	n/a*	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
тх	90%	952	90%	84%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	85%	595	85%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a
MS	82%	277	82%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	92%	94%**	n/a	n/a
MN	82%	2196	82%	n/a	84%	88%	81%	75%	64%	n/a	n/a
ОН	81%	633	81%	n/a	81%	78%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	81%	337	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	80%	388	82%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
VT	79%	265	79%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
WI	78%	1489	80%	85%	77%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
NE	78%	397	82%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	73%
IN	78%	681	84%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	71%
OR	77%	434	79%	83%	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	66%
GA	76%	98	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a
NJ	73%	400	83%	88%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
DE	66%	414	71%	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61%
NCI-AD Average	81%	9834	83%	85%	84%	82%	82%	81%	86%	86%	69%

Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning and the person receives needed services.

There are ten Service Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who know whom to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services.
- 2. Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met.
- 3. Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to.
- 4. Proportion of people who receive the services they need.
- 5. Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies.
- 6. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services.
- 7. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
- 8. Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to.
- 9. Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person.
- 10. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up.

There are twelve survey items that correspond to the Service Coordination domain.

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	85%	396	85%	n/a*	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a
NV	82%	371	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	81%	455	85%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	77%
wi	81%	1965	84%	82%	82%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%
ОН	81%	753	81%	n/a	77%	69%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	75%	2913	75%	n/a	75%	74%	71%	82%	79%	n/a	n/a
NJ	74%	552	80%	80%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61%
MS	73%	372	73%	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a	68%	80%**	n/a	n/a
VT	71%	336	71%	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a
OR	70%	591	71%	75%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%	54%
DE	69%	536	75%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%
со	68%	832	68%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a
GA	67%	616	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a
IN	66%	1108	81%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	48%
TN	64%	543	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%
тх	61%	1393	61%	72%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	73%	13732	75%	77%	74%	78%	79%	78%	79%	65%	55%

Table 36. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services²³

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²³ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	91%	372	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	87%	371	87%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	91%	92%**	n/a	n/a
MN	86%	3170	86%	n/a	85%	84%	86%	82%	89%	n/a	n/a
KS	85%	285	85%	n/a*	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a
WI	84%	1841	83%	89%	80%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%
TN	84%	553	87%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%
NJ	83%	614	84%	85%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
IN	83%	888	86%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	74%
ОН	83%	750	83%	n/a	80%	88%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	78%	389	78%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a
GA	74%	259	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a
NE	74%	286	70%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	83%
СО	73%	778	73%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a
DE	68%	441	63%	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
тх	62%	1270	62%	74%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	61%	454	60%	81%	n/a	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	72%
NCI-AD Average	79%	12721	79%	81%	77%	76%	86%	85%	91%	77%	79%

Table 37. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have a case manager/care coordinator)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall					HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	94%	1012	94%	91%	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	93%	294	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	91%	605	91%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a
KS	90%	289	90%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a
MS	89%	278	89%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	95%	96%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	88%	489	90%	89%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%
GA	86%	122	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a
OR	86%	358	86%	88%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	82%
VT	85%	253	85%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
MN	85%	2301	85%	n/a	87%	89%	84%	83%	75%	n/a	n/a
ОН	85%	675	85%	n/a	83%	88%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	84%	486	79%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
WI	83%	1366	86%	92%	83%	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
NE	82%	431	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	81%
DE	81%	440	81%	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
IN	80%	720	89%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	64%
NCI-AD Average	86%	10119	88%	91%	87%	89%	86%	87%	91%	89%	77%

Table 38. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall					HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	86%	422	86%	n/a*	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a
TN	84%	739	79%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
NE	83%	601	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	83%
IN	83%	1297	74%	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	94%
NV	82%	381	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	78%	743	73%	58%	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
WI	76%	1991	74%	89%	73%	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
MN	73%	3364	73%	n/a	70%	71%	74%	76%	88%	n/a	n/a
DE	71%	564	67%	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%
MS	70%	416	70%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	72%	76%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	66%	780	66%	n/a	64%	88%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	64%	1645	64%	69%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	57%	532	57%	71%	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%	65%
со	53%	908	53%	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%	n/a
VT	45%	394	45%	n/a	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a	n/a
GA	42%	757	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	71%	15534	68%	73%	70%	66%	70%	75%	78%	54%	89%

Table 39. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	72%	406	71%	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	73%
IN	72%	1094	70%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%	74%
NV	70%	349	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	66%	585	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a
тх	56%	1387	56%	58%	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
СО	55%	825	55%	n/a	n/a	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a
NJ	51%	535	56%	43%	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%
TN	50%	507	52%	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
WI	49%	1744	50%	65%	52%	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%
KS	49%	393	49%	n/a*	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a
VT	49%	306	49%	n/a	n/a	47%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a
MN	49%	2625	49%	n/a	46%	46%	56%	47%	52%	n/a	n/a
DE	48%	497	44%	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
ОН	46%	604	46%	n/a	39%	56%	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	42%	331	42%	n/a	n/a	41%	n/a	46%	52%**	n/a	n/a
OR	40%	525	40%	40%	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%	47%
NCI-AD Average	53%	12713	52%	52%	50%	52%	56%	48%	54%	57%	59%

Table 40. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	82%	1318	77%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	86%
ОН	81%	849	81%	n/a	80%	83%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	81%	394	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	77%	822	75%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%
MN	77%	3649	77%	n/a	70%	74%	76%	68%	80%	n/a	n/a
WI	76%	2143	75%	78%	73%	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
NJ	75%	808	73%	86%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
KS	73%	419	73%	n/a*	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a
тх	72%	1740	72%	83%	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	70%	401	70%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	77%	79%**	n/a	n/a
со	67%	978	67%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a
VT	64%	411	64%	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a	n/a
DE	64%	645	64%	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%
NE	63%	641	56%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	72%
OR	63%	660	63%	77%	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%	60%
GA	54%	788	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	74%	16666	73%	81%	73%	70%	79%	72%	78%	62%	79%

Table 41. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	N (observed)	Nursing home services	Personal care assistance	Homemaker/ chore services	Healthcare home services/ home health	Home delivered meals	Adult day services	Transportation	Respite/family caregiver support
со	632	1%	16%	29%	11%	14%	14%	36%	12%
DE	386	5%	10%	10%	7%	7%	9%	19%	12%
GA	453	1%	32%	54%	10%	11%	17%	46%	3%
IN	669	0%	19%	28%	8%	11%	6%	28%	5%
кѕ	183	0%	17%	32%	7%	11%	1%	15%	6%
MN	1673	3%	28%	26%	15%	11%	8%	30%	18%
MS	156	4%	9%	17%	10%	10%	4%	17%	7%
NE	377	5%	8%	7%	5%	9%	13%	31%	21%
NJ	360	5%	14%	7%	5%	8%	6%	14%	8%
NV	112	2%	6%	4%	5%	9%	4%	17%	2%
ОН	318	1%	11%	13%	8%	7%	5%	27%	3%
OR	347	2%	13%	20%	10%	11%	7%	29%	8%
TN	373	7%	16%	11%	6%	7%	5%	9%	7%
тх	1038	1%	12%	11%	11%	6%	7%	13%	8%
VT	275	3%	17%	12%	12%	8%	7%	26%	14%
wi	1125	5%	15%	18%	7%	13%	11%	28%	9%
NCI-AD Average	8477	2%	16%	20%	9%	10%	8%	25%	9%

Table 42a. Additional services that might help meet people's needs and goals

State	N (observed)	Health care	Mental health care	Dental care	Housing assistance	Heating/ cooling assistance	Hospice	Funeral planning	Other
со	632	6%	8%	16%	19%	11%	1%	3%	21%
DE	386	4%	6%	46%	13%	9%	6%	11%	17%
GA	453	6%	0%	23%	13%	14%	2%	3%	4%
IN	669	3%	2%	8%	8%	10%	1%	4%	22%
KS	183	4%	2%	19%	7%	8%	0%	1%	27%
MN	1673	4%	4%	11%	21%	14%	2%	7%	19%
MS	156	6%	0%	27%	24%	8%	0%	6%	29%
NE	377	3%	8%	16%	18%	15%	11%	25%	23%
NJ	360	4%	3%	14%	15%	5%	1%	3%	31%
NV	112	3%	3%	16%	9%	2%	3%	2%	30%
ОН	318	2%	2%	13%	16%	14%	1%	2%	30%
OR	347	14%	6%	14%	18%	13%	3%	5%	22%
TN	373	4%	2%	17%	10%	4%	0%	1%	26%
тх	1038	4%	5%	13%	16%	8%	1%	1%	46%
VT	275	6%	3%	12%	15%	8%	1%	1%	37%
wi	1125	7%	11%	17%	21%	12%	4%	5%	13%
NCI-AD Average	8477	5%	5%	15%	16%	11%	2%	5%	26%

Table 42b. Additional services that might help meet people's needs and goals (continued)

	0	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	86%	59	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	79%	137	79%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
KS	68%	76	68%	n/a*	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
WI	67%	452	68%	57%	64%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%
MN	64%	746	64%	n/a	72%	64%	53%	58%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NE	61%	93	61%	n/a	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a*
NJ	61%	128	64%	n/a*	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*
DE	60%	144	65%	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%
TN	59%	140	65%	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*
со	58%	268	58%	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a
GA	55%	107	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a
ОН	53%	130	53%	n/a	53%	n/a*	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	42%	87	42%	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a	33%	60%**	n/a	n/a
IN	37%	217	41%	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	20%
тх	37%	356	37%	28%	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	37%	127	36%	n/a*	n/a	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a*
NCI-AD Average	52%	3267	53%	57%	56%	49%	55%	55%	66%	47%	38%

Table 43. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have a case manager/care coordinator)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	N (observed)	Friend	Family	AAA/ADRC	CIL	State or county agency	Case manager/care coordinator	Doctor	Other provider	Other
со	967	12%	14%	7%	1%	20%	13%	17%	23%	5%
DE	648	10%	30%	4%	0%	11%	20%	13%	19%	7%
GA	775	12%	24%	31%	1%	6%	4%	13%	11%	2%
IN	1323	7%	33%	14%	2%	5%	9%	13%	17%	3%
кѕ	427	12%	33%	10%	6%	3%	2%	10%	17%	5%
MN	3660	11%	33%	3%	1%	17%	14%	13%	16%	5%
MS	425	21%	31%	2%	0%	8%	11%	16%	19%	4%
NE	652	7%	31%	9%	2%	13%	16%	25%	32%	10%
NJ	789	9%	22%	6%	0%	6%	11%	14%	21%	5%
NV	406	7%	23%	5%	0%	6%	11%	5%	22%	9%
ОН	862	9%	21%	15%	0%	13%	10%	11%	27%	5%
OR	670	13%	33%	7%	0%	17%	13%	6%	17%	6%
TN	844	4%	15%	5%	0%	1%	6%	8%	30%	6%
тх	1721	8%	19%	2%	1%	9%	7%	25%	33%	14%
νт	423	7%	20%	20%	1%	6%	13%	16%	23%	7%
wi	2175	11%	31%	15%	1%	11%	10%	13%	15%	5%
NCI-AD Average	16767	10%	27%	9%	1%	11%	10%	14%	21%	6%

Table 44. How people first found out about the services available to them

State	N (observed)	Paid support worker (not friend or family)	Paid family member	Paid friend	Unpaid family member	Unpaid friend or volunteer	Other
со	932	40%	24%	2%	28%	5%	1%
DE	620	58%	17%	1%	21%	2%	0%
GA	486	25%	1%	0%	66%	7%	1%
IN	1183	63%	3%	0%	22%	1%	10%
кѕ	405	55%	18%	2%	20%	2%	2%
MN	3536	57%	16%	0%	24%	2%	0%
MS	408	47%	4%	1%	46%	2%	0%
NE	648	78%	12%	1%	7%	1%	1%
NJ	772	72%	9%	1%	16%	1%	1%
NV	392	79%	9%	0%	10%	1%	1%
ОН	848	58%	8%	0%	30%	3%	0%
OR	606	61%	16%	1%	17%	5%	0%
TN	782	72%	3%	0%	19%	1%	6%
тх	1617	40%	41%	4%	13%	1%	1%
VT	416	34%	39%	2%	21%	3%	1%
wi	2124	58%	22%	3%	15%	2%	0%
NCI-AD Average	15775	56%	16%	1%	22%	2%	2%

Table 45. Who helps people most often (if anyone helps on a regular basis)

	0	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
GA	56%	486	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%	n/a
νт	53%	416	53%	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
MN	44%	3536	44%	n/a	52%	43%	58%	38%	34%	n/a	n/a
DE	42%	620	51%	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%
со	42%	932	42%	n/a	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	47%	n/a
тх	39%	1617	39%	27%	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	38%	848	38%	n/a	36%	50%	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	37%	405	37%	n/a*	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a
WI	37%	2124	42%	17%	40%	50%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%
OR	36%	606	36%	39%	n/a	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%	32%
MS	33%	408	33%	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a	30%	34%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	31%	772	34%	38%	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%
NE	31%	648	31%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	12%
IN	27%	1183	39%	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	12%
TN	26%	782	33%	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%
NV	24%	392	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	36%	15775	39%	32%	40%	38%	48%	36%	33%	50%	15%

Table 46. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis) (*risk-adjusted*)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
он	59%	845	59%	n/a	58%	76%	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	58%	403	58%	n/a*	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a
MN	57%	3514	57%	n/a	57%	62%	68%	52%	47%	n/a	n/a
MS	56%	407	56%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	64%	60%**	n/a	n/a
VT	55%	415	55%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a
NJ	51%	772	55%	50%	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%
тх	51%	1613	51%	30%	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	51%	2120	52%	45%	52%	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
СО	50%	932	50%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%	n/a
NE	48%	641	52%	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	43%
DE	48%	617	45%	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%
OR	46%	602	46%	39%	n/a	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%	43%
IN	39%	1167	41%	n/a	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	38%
TN	37%	762	39%	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35%
GA	35%	480	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a
NV	35%	385	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	50%	15675	52%	41%	54%	50%	61%	54%	56%	42%	41%

Table 47. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis) (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NJ	84%	439	79%	84%	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%
KS	83%	276	83%	n/a*	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a
DE	81%	398	82%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
MN	76%	2172	76%	n/a	77%	75%	76%	76%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
ОН	76%	625	76%	n/a	72%	93%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	75%	273	75%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	77%	76%**	n/a	n/a
NV	74%	263	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	73%	445	74%	n/a	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%
со	73%	586	73%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a
WI	73%	1303	75%	87%	72%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%
NE	73%	374	57%	n/a	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	92%
IN	73%	647	73%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	74%
VT	70%	246	70%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
OR	69%	310	68%	59%	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	80%
GA	68%	115	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a
тх	65%	1021	65%	31%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	74%	9493	73%	65%	72%	74%	76%	76%	78%	68%	78%

Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Care Coordination

Individuals are provided appropriate coordination of care.

There are three Care Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or long-term care facility who feel comfortable going home.
- 2. Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or long-term care facility who have adequate follow-up.
- 3. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Care Coordination domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	43%	414	43%	n/a	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a
он	39%	857	39%	n/a	40%	23%	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	35%	667	34%	33%	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	42%
тх	34%	1755	34%	25%	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	33%	3692	33%	n/a	31%	32%	40%	33%	32%	n/a	n/a
со	33%	994	33%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a
NV	32%	394	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	32%	649	32%	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%
WI	31%	2182	33%	28%	33%	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%
TN	31%	810	40%	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%
NE	31%	640	33%	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	28%
KS	29%	434	29%	n/a*	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%	n/a
IN	28%	1382	33%	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%	23%
MS	28%	413	28%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	26%	35%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	28%	811	30%	25%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%
GA	22%	721	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a
NCI-AD average	32%	16,815	33%	29%	34%	32%	39%	31%	34%	30%	25%

Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or back to where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	91%	123	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	91%	225	92%	88%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
MN	90%	1054	90%	n/a	90%	90%	91%	83%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
ОН	89%	304	89%	n/a	87%	100%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	89%	396	89%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	89%
MS	89%	120	89%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	85%	87%**	n/a	n/a
GA	89%	139	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a
KS	88%	130	88%	n/a*	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a
OR	88%	221	89%	85%	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	79%
со	87%	324	87%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a
VT	85%	175	85%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
тх	84%	555	84%	93%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	84%	249	83%	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
WI	83%	700	85%	91%	85%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
DE	81%	195	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
NE	77%	187	66%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	89%
NCI-AD average	87%	5,097	87%	90%	86%	87%	91%	84%	90%	89%	85%

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	87%	170	80%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	97%
VT	87%	170	87%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
KS	87%	124	87%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
ОН	86%	287	86%	n/a	85%	83%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	85%	379	85%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%	84%
TN	85%	241	84%	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%
MN	84%	996	84%	n/a	85%	86%	85%	81%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	83%	213	81%	86%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
со	82%	310	82%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a
NV	82%	119	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a
wi	82%	669	82%	82%	82%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
OR	82%	206	82%	80%	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	74%
DE	79%	186	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%
MS	77%	116	77%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	81%	86%**	n/a	n/a
GA	75%	137	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a
тх	75%	540	75%	81%	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	82%	4,863	82%	83%	81%	82%	85%	81%	81%	81%	84%

Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	90%	317	90%	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a	97%	91%**	n/a	n/a
IN	90%	1195	91%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	88%
KS	89%	398	89%	n/a*	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a
DE	89%	517	95%	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
GA	88%	678	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a
MN	87%	2842	87%	n/a	88%	90%	87%	85%	92%	n/a	n/a
OR	86%	536	86%	82%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	85%
WI	85%	1916	86%	94%	86%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
VT	84%	353	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
ОН	84%	788	84%	n/a	88%	78%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	81%	302	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	81%	820	81%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
NE	67%	529	76%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	57%
NJ	64%	673	73%	78%	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	48%
тх	61%	1637	61%	63%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	60%	701	77%	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	44%
NCI-AD average	81%	14,202	82%	78%	78%	85%	83%	89%	92%	88%	71%

Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if have chronic conditions)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Access

People are able to access needed services.

There are three Access indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who have adequate transportation.
- 2. Proportion of people who get needed equipment and assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.).
- 3. Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Access domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MN	79%	3572	79%	n/a	76%	83%	77%	81%	87%	n/a	n/a
KS	79%	432	79%	n/a*	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a
WI	78%	2033	79%	88%	77%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%
DE	75%	589	79%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%
СО	74%	964	74%	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a
ОН	74%	829	74%	n/a	72%	72%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	72%	405	72%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	83%	80%**	n/a	n/a
GA	71%	754	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a
NV	71%	390	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	71%	631	72%	75%	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	55%
NJ	70%	779	75%	64%	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%
VT	69%	392	69%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
тх	69%	1733	69%	57%	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	66%	1308	70%	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	61%
TN	64%	706	73%	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
NE	61%	603	68%	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	53%
NCI-AD average	72%	16120	74%	68%	74%	73%	76%	81%	81%	71%	61%

Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall HCBS only		NFs only								
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	96%	434	96%	n/a*	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
MN	95%	3686	95%	n/a	95%	97%	96%	95%	98%	n/a	n/a
DE	95%	630	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%
ОН	95%	851	95%	n/a	94%	98%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	95%	2129	95%	100%	96%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
NJ	95%	784	93%	98%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%
MS	94%	413	94%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	98%	94%**	n/a	n/a
VT	94%	413	94%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a
IN	93%	1245	91%	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	97%
NE	93%	646	88%	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	97%
OR	92%	660	92%	98%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	96%
тх	92%	1755	92%	99%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	92%	657	94%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
со	91%	985	91%	n/a	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a
NV	88%	383	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	83%	783	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
NCI-AD average	94%	16454	93%	99%	94%	92%	96%	96%	96%	89%	96%

Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	100%	32	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
GA	99%	446	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	n/a
IN	98%	529	99%	n/a	n/a	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	96%
KS	96%	105	96%	n/a*	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
TN	94%	172	97%	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%
NV	90%	52	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	90%	132	91%	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
тх	88%	1178	88%	90%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	86%	258	82%	n/a*	85%	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%
NJ	86%	479	79%	79%	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%
NE	70%	164	64%	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	87%
со	69%	381	69%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a
ОН	65%	91	65%	n/a	n/a*	100%	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	58%	59	58%	n/a	n/a	57%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
MN	57%	586	57%	n/a	49%	51%	66%	76%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
OR	n/a*	17	n/a*	n/a*	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a*
NCI-AD average	84%	4681	82%	87%	82%	78%	63%	83%	n/a*	98%	95%

Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)²⁴

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁴ CAUTION: States varied in degree and types of accommodations offered to non-English-speaking survey participants; use caution when interpreting results

		NFs only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	31%	417	31%	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a	17%	19%**	n/a	n/a
тх	24%	1773	24%	8%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
СО	17%	991	17%	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a
GA	15%	797	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
KS	12%	437	12%	n/a*	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
VT	12%	424	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a
OR	11%	664	12%	0%	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	4%
IN	11%	1404	20%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	1%
ОН	11%	861	11%	n/a	10%	2%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	11%	2218	13%	1%	8%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
DE	8%	652	13%	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NJ	7%	838	12%	1%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
TN	7%	826	13%	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
MN	6%	3717	6%	n/a	7%	11%	6%	10%	3%	n/a	n/a
NE	6%	653	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	0%
NV	5%	399	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	13%	17,071	15%	4%	14%	20%	9%	11%	15%	15%	1%

Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	37%	415	37%	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a	30%	26%**	n/a	n/a
тх	23%	1774	23%	6%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	19%	987	19%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a
VT	16%	420	16%	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a
OR	16%	661	17%	7%	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	4%
GA	15%	776	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
NE	15%	643	28%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%
ОН	12%	856	12%	n/a	14%	1%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	11%	2196	13%	3%	11%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
DE	10%	638	14%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
IN	9%	1370	16%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%	1%
KS	9%	435	9%	n/a*	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a
TN	9%	821	17%	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NJ	7%	813	11%	3%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%
MN	7%	3709	7%	n/a	7%	11%	6%	11%	3%	n/a	n/a
NV	2%	403	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	14%	16917	16%	5%	15%	22%	8%	16%	19%	15%	1%

Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

				NFs only							
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	16%	1748	16%	8%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	15%	776	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
со	14%	991	14%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a
VT	13%	420	13%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a
OR	11%	672	12%	5%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	1%
WI	11%	2211	13%	7%	11%	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
MS	9%	417	9%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	10%	6%**	n/a	n/a
MN	9%	3720	9%	n/a	8%	11%	10%	8%	0%	n/a	n/a
ОН	8%	858	8%	n/a	12%	2%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	7%	640	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	2%
DE	6%	662	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
IJ	5%	818	9%	0%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
KS	5%	433	5%	n/a*	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a
IN	4%	1402	8%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	1%
NV	4%	400	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	4%	835	7%	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NCI-AD average	9%	17003	11%	5%	11%	12%	7%	8%	5%	9%	1%

Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	19%	416	19%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	12%	10%**	n/a	n/a
VT	15%	419	15%	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a
со	14%	989	14%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a
тх	14%	1769	14%	3%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	9%	665	10%	1%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	1%
ОН	9%	861	9%	n/a	10%	0%	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	8%	2204	10%	1%	8%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
MN	8%	3728	8%	n/a	6%	9%	13%	5%	3%	n/a	n/a
DE	6%	634	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%
GA	6%	790	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a
IN	6%	1406	11%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	0%
NJ	6%	819	8%	0%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
TN	5%	830	11%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
KS	5%	436	5%	n/a*	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
NE	4%	644	7%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	0%
NV	3%	393	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	9%	17003	11%	2%	10%	14%	10%	7%	8%	9%	0%

Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside their home but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	30%	414	30%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	23%	26%**	n/a	n/a
тх	17%	1746	17%	0%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	11%	976	11%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
GA	10%	775	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
VT	9%	411	9%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a
DE	8%	606	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
NJ	7%	786	9%	0%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
WI	6%	2187	7%	3%	5%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
NE	6%	618	11%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	2%
MN	5%	3652	5%	n/a	4%	3%	7%	4%	5%	n/a	n/a
OR	5%	646	5%	5%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	1%
TN	4%	813	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
ОН	2%	858	2%	n/a	4%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	2%	1385	4%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	0%
NV	1%	397	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	0%	430	0%	n/a*	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a
NCI-AD average	7%	16700	8%	2%	9%	11%	4%	9%	20%	7%	2%

Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall HCBS only					NFs only					
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	50%	419	50%	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a	38%	30%**	n/a	n/a
GA	38%	777	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	n/a
тх	26%	1760	26%	15%	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	18%	981	18%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a
WI	16%	2202	20%	8%	16%	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
OR	15%	669	16%	5%	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	8%
NE	12%	620	22%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	3%
VT	11%	418	11%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a
IN	9%	1411	16%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	28%	1%
TN	9%	837	15%	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
KS	8%	437	8%	n/a*	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
MN	8%	3716	8%	n/a	5%	10%	12%	10%	3%	n/a	n/a
NJ	6%	821	10%	3%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
DE	6%	659	9%	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
ОН	4%	864	4%	n/a	5%	2%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	2%	399	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	14%	16990	16%	8%	14%	22%	7%	17%	22%	26%	2%

Table 61. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall HCBS only		NFs only								
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	14%	1372	14%	1%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	10%	648	11%	11%	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	4%
VT	10%	398	10%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a
со	10%	974	10%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
MS	9%	388	9%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	14%	19%**	n/a	n/a
NE	8%	416	16%	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%
TN	5%	468	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
DE	4%	598	7%	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NJ	4%	666	7%	0%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
GA	4%	479	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a
WI	4%	2121	5%	0%	5%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
IN	4%	650	8%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	0%
MN	4%	3602	4%	n/a	3%	4%	4%	10%	5%	n/a	n/a
KS	4%	359	4%	n/a*	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a
ОН	3%	701	3%	n/a	3%	1%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	1%	173	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	6%	14013	7%	5%	7%	9%	4%	11%	15%	6%	1%

Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

		NFs only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	10%	1775	10%	3%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	6%	990	6%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a
MS	4%	418	4%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	4%	3%**	n/a	n/a
DE	4%	660	4%	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
OR	4%	679	4%	0%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	0%
GA	3%	784	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a
WI	3%	2223	4%	1%	3%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
IN	3%	1411	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	2%
NJ	3%	827	3%	0%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%
VT	2%	424	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a
TN	2%	839	3%	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
ОН	2%	856	2%	n/a	2%	1%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	2%	400	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	2%	3736	2%	n/a	3%	3%	0%	2%	0%	n/a	n/a
KS	1%	434	1%	n/a*	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
NE	0%	647	1%	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	0%
NCI-AD average	3%	17103	4%	1%	5%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%

Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
MS	23%	417	23%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	28%	19%**	n/a	n/a	
тх	18%	1747	18%	3%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
со	14%	986	14%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	
WI	13%	2205	15%	5%	14%	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	
VT	13%	420	13%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	
OR	12%	671	13%	10%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	8%	
ОН	11%	855	11%	n/a	14%	2%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
GA	11%	777	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	
NE	10%	617	15%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	6%	
DE	10%	656	14%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	
IN	8%	1395	12%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	4%	
MN	7%	3714	7%	n/a	5%	10%	8%	10%	8%	n/a	n/a	
NV	6%	397	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NJ	4%	825	6%	0%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	
TN	4%	827	7%	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	
KS	3%	432	3%	n/a*	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	
NCI-AD average	11%	16941	12%	6%	12%	15%	8%	14%	15%	10%	4%	

Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it

.....

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
тх	15%	1774	15%	3%	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
MS	15%	419	15%	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a	10%	3%**	n/a	n/a	
ОН	8%	866	8%	n/a	8%	1%	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
VT	7%	422	7%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	
MN	7%	3727	7%	n/a	7%	7%	9%	3%	0%	n/a	n/a	
со	6%	992	6%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	
OR	6%	677	6%	0%	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	2%	
DE	5%	666	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	
WI	5%	2219	6%	1%	6%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	
KS	5%	435	5%	n/a*	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	
TN	4%	841	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	
IN	4%	1410	6%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	2%	
NV	3%	401	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
GA	3%	792	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	
NJ	3%	835	5%	0%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	
NE	2%	651	3%	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%	
NCI-AD average	7%	17127	8%	3%	9%	8%	8%	5%	2%	5%	2%	

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
со	17%	982	17%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%	n/a	
тх	17%	1737	17%	11%	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OR	16%	673	16%	4%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	13%	
MS	16%	419	16%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	12%	4%**	n/a	n/a	
GA	15%	772	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	
ОН	13%	856	13%	n/a	16%	10%	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
VT	12%	413	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	
DE	11%	660	11%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	
WI	11%	2203	11%	7%	12%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	
IN	11%	1390	13%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	9%	
KS	10%	429	10%	n/a*	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	
TN	7%	830	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	
MN	7%	3709	7%	n/a	7%	9%	8%	4%	5%	n/a	n/a	
NV	5%	399	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NJ	5%	816	6%	0%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	
NE	3%	646	3%	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	3%	
NCI-AD average	12%	16934	12%	5%	12%	14%	9%	6%	4%	17%	7%	

Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
тх	13%	1760	13%	8%	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OR	9%	675	9%	7%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	10%	
со	8%	983	8%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	
VT	8%	416	8%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	
DE	8%	664	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	
MS	8%	413	8%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	10%	9%**	n/a	n/a	
TN	8%	828	9%	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	
GA	6%	783	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	
ОН	6%	854	6%	n/a	7%	0%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
WI	4%	2207	5%	3%	4%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	
NJ	4%	821	5%	2%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	
NV	4%	399	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
IN	4%	1393	5%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	2%	
NE	2%	652	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%	
KS	2%	436	2%	n/a*	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	
MN	2%	3705	2%	n/a	1%	3%	1%	3%	0%	n/a	n/a	
NCI-AD average	6%	16989	6%	6%	7%	7%	3%	5%	8%	5%	3%	

Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
тх	9%	1737	9%	3%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
со	5%	986	5%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	
OR	5%	667	6%	4%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	2%	
VT	5%	412	5%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	
MS	5%	413	5%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	7%	6%**	n/a	n/a	
WI	3%	2201	4%	3%	3%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	
GA	3%	656	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	
ОН	2%	859	2%	n/a	3%	1%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
KS	2%	432	2%	n/a*	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	
TN	2%	815	3%	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	
IN	1%	1390	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	1%	
NE	1%	623	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%	
MN	1%	3704	1%	n/a	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	n/a	n/a	
DE	1%	658	1%	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	
NV	1%	385	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NJ	0%	796	1%	0%	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	
NCI-AD average	3%	16734	4%	3%	4%	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	1%	

Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it^{25}

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁵ New question added in 2017-2018

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	13%	1409	13%	1%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	12%	399	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	14%	15%**	n/a	n/a
СО	7%	983	7%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a
NJ	6%	653	9%	0%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
DE	6%	626	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
OR	5%	655	5%	7%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	5%
VT	5%	399	5%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a
NE	4%	440	7%	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	0%
TN	4%	470	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%
ОН	3%	682	3%	n/a	4%	3%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	3%	2149	3%	1%	3%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
IN	3%	701	6%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	0%
GA	3%	482	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a
MN	2%	3634	2%	n/a	3%	3%	1%	4%	0%	n/a	n/a
NV	2%	189	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	1%	361	1%	n/a*	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a
NCI-AD average	5%	14232	6%	3%	7%	7%	1%	7%	11%	4%	1%

Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Safety

People feel safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.

There are five Safety indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.
- 2. Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff.
- 3. Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe.
- 4. Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed.
- 5. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency.

There are seven survey items that correspond to the Safety domain.

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
KS	99%	408	99%	n/a*	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	
ОН	98%	775	98%	n/a	99%	99%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
IN	98%	1248	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	98%	
OR	98%	602	98%	98%	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	95%	
NJ	98%	608	97%	99%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	
VT	97%	341	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	100%	n/a	n/a	
MN	97%	3011	97%	n/a	95%	98%	98%	97%	97%	n/a	n/a	
тх	97%	1449	97%	96%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
WI	96%	2028	96%	98%	96%	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	
GA	96%	672	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	
MS	96%	381	96%	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	96%	98%**	n/a	n/a	
NE	96%	470	93%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	99%	
NV	95%	375	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
со	95%	863	95%	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	
TN	95%	576	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	
DE	95%	552	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	
NCI-AD Average	97%	14359	97%	98%	97%	97%	98%	96%	98%	96%	97%	

Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall	HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
MS	99%	281	99%	n/a	n/a	99%	n/a	98%	99%**	n/a	n/a	
GA	98%	98	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a	
тх	98%	956	98%	96%	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NV	98%	340	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	
KS	98%	281	98%	n/a*	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a	
VT	97%	270	97%	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	
со	97%	595	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	n/a	
MN	97%	2219	97%	n/a	97%	98%	98%	95%	86%	n/a	n/a	
NE	97%	396	96%	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	98%	
ОН	97%	640	97%	n/a	96%	95%	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NJ	96%	405	98%	99%	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	
IN	96%	696	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%	95%	
WI	96%	1488	96%	98%	95%	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	
OR	95%	441	95%	97%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	94%	
TN	94%	388	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	
DE	93%	418	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	
NCI-AD Average	97%	9912	97%	98%	97%	97%	98%	97%	96%	98%	94%	

Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall		HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs		
NE	26%	479	34%	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	21%		
DE	23%	550	16%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%		
со	22%	860	22%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a		
MS	22%	379	22%	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a	17%	18%**	n/a	n/a		
NV	21%	370	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a		
IN	20%	1232	18%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	19%		
TN	19%	575	17%	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%		
WI	19%	2033	20%	15%	21%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%		
OR	19%	601	19%	13%	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	22%		
тх	19%	1449	19%	29%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
NJ	19%	603	17%	13%	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%		
VT	17%	345	17%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a		
ОН	17%	772	17%	n/a	16%	14%	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
MN	16%	2962	16%	n/a	13%	16%	19%	18%	21%	n/a	n/a		
KS	15%	406	15%	n/a*	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a		
GA	7%	660	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a		
NCI-AD Average	19%	14276	18%	17%	17%	20%	18%	17%	19%	17%	20%		

Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	12%	364	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	10%	567	11%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%
VT	10%	339	10%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a
NE	10%	470	11%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	8%
OR	9%	588	9%	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	11%
DE	9%	541	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%
IN	9%	1225	10%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	7%
MS	8%	375	8%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	6%	5%**	n/a	n/a
со	8%	860	8%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
WI	8%	2019	8%	5%	9%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%
KS	7%	402	7%	n/a*	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a
MN	6%	2935	6%	n/a	4%	3%	8%	8%	9%	n/a	n/a
ОН	5%	768	5%	n/a	6%	5%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	5%	1446	5%	4%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	4%	610	3%	5%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%
GA	3%	654	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	7%	14163	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	8%	6%	8%	8%

Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	73%	992	73%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a
NE	72%	655	69%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	76%
MN	69%	3716	69%	n/a	65%	64%	73%	59%	68%	n/a	n/a
тх	68%	1770	68%	76%	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	67%	674	69%	63%	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	56%
VT	67%	424	67%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a
KS	64%	434	64%	n/a*	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a
MS	64%	419	64%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	57%	49%**	n/a	n/a
DE	59%	664	64%	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
NJ	57%	827	60%	75%	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
WI	55%	2226	56%	51%	57%	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	51%
NV	55%	402	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	49%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	54%	863	54%	n/a	57%	55%	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	50%	840	55%	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	47%
IN	49%	1398	57%	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%	44%
GA	46%	772	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	61%	17076	63%	68%	62%	65%	61%	58%	54%	61%	50%

Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	94%	435	89%	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	98%
TN	89%	441	89%	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
NV	87%	238	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	86%	806	81%	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	95%
KS	83%	285	83%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a
WI	83%	1293	81%	90%	80%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
GA	81%	290	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a
со	81%	678	81%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
VT	81%	294	81%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	81%	463	80%	87%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
ОН	81%	517	81%	n/a	79%	92%	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	79%	2088	79%	n/a	77%	82%	81%	77%	86%	n/a	n/a
DE	77%	357	81%	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%
OR	74%	422	74%	89%	n/a	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	74%
MS	66%	222	66%	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a	75%	65%**	n/a	n/a
тх	60%	1315	60%	72%	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	78%	10144	76%	83%	74%	78%	81%	78%	71%	77%	89%

Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	90%	1275	86%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	94%
MS	88%	399	88%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	84%	80%**	n/a	n/a
wi	87%	2024	86%	92%	84%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%
ОН	87%	790	87%	n/a	82%	93%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	86%	623	86%	84%	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	88%
NV	85%	373	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	85%	745	87%	81%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
TN	84%	762	84%	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%
KS	84%	406	84%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a
со	83%	924	83%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a
MN	83%	3444	83%	n/a	83%	88%	81%	83%	82%	n/a	n/a
DE	80%	584	87%	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
VT	76%	391	76%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a
тх	75%	1603	75%	91%	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	64%	525	62%	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	64%
GA	62%	722	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	84%	15590	83%	88%	82%	86%	86%	83%	81%	74%	87%

Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Health Care

People secure needed health services.

There are four Health Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who have been to the ER in the past 12 months.
- 2. Proportion of people who have had needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.).
- 3. Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to.
- 4. Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Health Care domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	58%	410	58%	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a
OR	57%	666	58%	36%	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	49%
со	51%	992	51%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a
тх	50%	1772	50%	29%	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	49%	854	49%	n/a	48%	35%	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	46%	414	46%	n/a	n/a	49%	n/a	31%	40%**	n/a	n/a
MN	45%	3681	45%	n/a	42%	48%	50%	45%	54%	n/a	n/a
WI	44%	2184	48%	28%	47%	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%
NV	43%	399	43%	n/a	n/a	n/a	44%	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	41%	651	48%	n/a	48%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%
IN	39%	1384	48%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	28%
TN	39%	818	52%	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%
NJ	38%	816	42%	35%	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%
KS	38%	435	38%	n/a*	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a
NE	31%	654	42%	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	20%
GA	29%	751	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%	n/a
NCI-AD average	45%	16881	48%	36%	47%	52%	50%	41%	44%	40%	29%

Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	40%	204	33%	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	57%
DE	35%	258	35%	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35%
OR	34%	348	34%	30%	n/a	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	31%
KS	34%	167	34%	n/a*	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a
WI	33%	996	32%	23%	36%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%
NV	32%	168	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	31%	396	31%	n/a	32%	40%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	30%	821	30%	39%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	30%	238	30%	n/a	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
IN	29%	582	31%	n/a	n/a	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	24%
MS	29%	162	29%	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a	21%	5%**	n/a	n/a
со	29%	507	29%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a
GA	28%	212	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a
NJ	28%	314	31%	28%	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22%
TN	25%	334	27%	n/a	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%
MN	23%	1506	23%	n/a	20%	22%	26%	24%	38%	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	30%	7213	30%	35%	31%	31%	28%	24%	17%	28%	29%

Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
DE	5%	262	6%	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
WI	4%	996	4%	0%	3%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
MS	4%	163	4%	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	0%	0%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	3%	386	4%	n/a	4%	0%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	3%	208	4%	n/a	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	2%
тх	3%	819	3%	7%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	3%	319	2%	0%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
СО	3%	509	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
IN	2%	582	2%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	2%
νт	2%	238	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
MN	2%	1506	2%	n/a	3%	2%	1%	4%	5%	n/a	n/a
GA	2%	211	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a
OR	2%	343	2%	7%	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%	0%
KS	2%	164	2%	n/a*	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a
TN	2%	330	2%	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NV	0%	163	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	3%	7199	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%

Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
MS	91%	415	91%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	95%	94%**	n/a	n/a
KS	90%	428	90%	n/a*	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a
ОН	88%	848	88%	n/a	88%	90%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	87%	1248	87%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	88%
TN	87%	774	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%
MN	87%	3660	87%	n/a	85%	86%	87%	85%	93%	n/a	n/a
IJ	84%	816	88%	69%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%
GA	83%	786	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
NE	83%	637	79%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	87%
NV	82%	387	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	82%	2168	81%	87%	81%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
тх	81%	1742	81%	76%	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	79%	567	78%	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
VT	77%	408	77%	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a
со	76%	971	76%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a
OR	74%	645	74%	86%	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%	81%
NCI-AD average	84%	16500	84%	81%	84%	81%	87%	88%	92%	82%	85%

Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	75%	446	75%	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	78%	75%
тх	72%	867	72%	54%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	70%	185	70%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
со	70%	498	70%	n/a	n/a	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a
OR	68%	315	69%	62%	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	53%
ОН	68%	401	68%	n/a	65%	62%	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	67%	309	75%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%
NV	67%	166	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	66%	205	73%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	57%
WI	65%	1058	66%	56%	65%	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%
KS	65%	209	65%	n/a*	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a
MN	63%	1401	63%	n/a	61%	75%	58%	78%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
DE	60%	277	66%	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
MS	58%	181	58%	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a	53%	58%**	n/a	n/a
GA	56%	209	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	n/a
NJ	56%	286	57%	71%	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
NCI-AD average	67%	7013	67%	63%	67%	70%	65%	72%	64%	63%	61%

Table 81. Proportion of people feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0\	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	91%	636	93%	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	89%
GA	90%	753	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a
он	90%	848	90%	n/a	89%	83%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	90%	789	91%	90%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
WI	86%	2140	88%	94%	87%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%
KS	85%	427	85%	n/a*	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a
MN	84%	3614	84%	n/a	84%	82%	81%	85%	95%	n/a	n/a
TN	83%	782	87%	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
СО	83%	975	83%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a
νт	82%	406	82%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a
MS	81%	406	81%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	75%	74%**	n/a	n/a
тх	80%	1730	80%	87%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	80%	387	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	79%	618	87%	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%
IN	77%	1333	84%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	69%
OR	76%	637	77%	90%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	70%
NCI-AD average	83%	16481	85%	89%	85%	82%	86%	82%	80%	86%	76%

Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	59%	577	55%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	64%
NJ	41%	764	38%	56%	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
MN	37%	3466	37%	n/a	37%	40%	38%	26%	47%	n/a	n/a
WI	37%	2077	35%	51%	36%	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%
TN	31%	753	29%	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%
тх	31%	1741	31%	56%	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	29%	1369	24%	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%	35%
GA	27%	734	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a
ОН	25%	846	25%	n/a	27%	34%	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	25%	425	25%	n/a*	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a
NV	23%	399	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	23%	621	21%	n/a	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26%
со	22%	976	22%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a
VT	22%	392	22%	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
OR	19%	635	19%	55%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%	22%
MS	16%	403	16%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	24%	21%**	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	30%	16178	28%	55%	31%	23%	30%	25%	26%	27%	39%

Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	77%	606	79%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	76%
NJ	70%	789	68%	90%	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
MN	67%	3588	67%	n/a	74%	72%	59%	67%	91%	n/a	n/a
WI	66%	2158	66%	82%	67%	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%
KS	65%	430	65%	n/a*	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a
тх	62%	1739	62%	81%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	59%	847	59%	n/a	56%	62%	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	56%	1364	56%	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%	55%
СО	54%	980	54%	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a
VT	52%	403	52%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a
DE	51%	639	51%	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
TN	51%	766	52%	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
GA	51%	726	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a
MS	50%	406	50%	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a	45%	36%**	n/a	n/a
OR	50%	646	50%	82%	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%	46%
NV	44%	401	44%	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	60%	16488	60%	84%	63%	54%	60%	59%	50%	58%	59%

Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	88%	649	81%	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	95%
MN	84%	3597	84%	n/a	82%	77%	88%	73%	89%	n/a	n/a
NJ	84%	792	79%	92%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%
TN	81%	784	73%	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
WI	80%	2147	77%	92%	80%	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%
DE	79%	637	75%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%
VT	77%	407	77%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a	n/a
IN	76%	1343	69%	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%	84%
OR	76%	645	75%	86%	n/a	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	77%
ОН	75%	852	75%	n/a	75%	84%	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	71%	759	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a
KS	69%	415	69%	n/a*	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a
MS	69%	397	69%	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a	65%	58%**	n/a	n/a
тх	69%	1725	69%	89%	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	67%	948	67%	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
NV	52%	388	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	76%	16483	74%	87%	75%	71%	80%	68%	66%	72%	88%

Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	62%	627	55%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	69%
NJ	55%	774	53%	69%	52%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%
wi	51%	2159	50%	69%	51%	46%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
MN	49%	3571	49%	n/a	45%	48%	43%	67%	86%	n/a	n/a
тх	48%	1759	48%	64%	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	44%	971	44%	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a
OR	42%	620	42%	77%	n/a	43%	n/a	n/a	n/a	28%	45%
VT	39%	397	39%	n/a	n/a	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
KS	36%	404	36%	n/a*	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a
IN	36%	1276	36%	n/a	n/a	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a	35%	35%
DE	34%	629	30%	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	41%
TN	33%	777	25%	n/a	25%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40%
ОН	29%	846	29%	n/a	34%	35%	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	23%	410	23%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	29%	26%**	n/a	n/a
NV	21%	400	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	20%	718	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a
NCI-AD average	41%	16338	41%	68%	43%	40%	32%	53%	43%	32%	46%

Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NJ	92%	746	91%	95%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%
тх	88%	1678	88%	88%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	87%	773	87%	n/a	88%	82%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	87%	1927	88%	87%	88%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
MN	86%	3217	86%	n/a	89%	92%	87%	79%	93%	n/a	n/a
MS	86%	378	86%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	85%	78%**	n/a	n/a
KS	86%	398	86%	n/a*	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	n/a
DE	84%	532	86%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
OR	84%	548	85%	83%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	70%
со	83%	908	83%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a
NE	83%	522	77%	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	89%
VT	81%	366	81%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
TN	76%	625	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
NV	74%	390	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	70%	1216	75%	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	61%
GA	65%	678	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a
NCI-AD average	83%	14902	85%	88%	87%	82%	86%	80%	81%	81%	74%

Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Wellness

People are supported to maintain health.

There are eight Wellness indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people living with a physical disability.
- 2. Proportion of people in poor health.
- 3. Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns.
- 4. Proportion of people with poor hearing.
- 5. Proportion of people with poor vision.
- 6. Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis.
- 7. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed.
- 8. Proportion of people who have a chronic condition.

There are ten survey items that correspond to the Wellness domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	30%	1779	30%	10%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	26%	416	26%	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a	19%	9%**	n/a	n/a
OR	25%	672	25%	13%	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	17%
со	23%	988	23%	n/a	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a
TN	22%	836	26%	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%
ОН	20%	860	20%	n/a	16%	4%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	19%	418	19%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a
NE	18%	662	17%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	18%
WI	17%	2209	18%	9%	16%	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%
NJ	16%	831	19%	14%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%
MN	16%	3708	16%	n/a	14%	23%	19%	15%	5%	n/a	n/a
IN	15%	1405	23%	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	7%
NV	15%	402	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	14%	784	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
DE	12%	654	14%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%
KS	12%	431	12%	n/a*	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	20%	17055	21%	11%	21%	24%	23%	16%	8%	16%	11%

Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	31%	418	31%	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a
со	25%	987	25%	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a
OR	24%	667	24%	18%	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	26%
DE	24%	651	22%	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26%
NE	23%	661	22%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	23%
KS	22%	435	22%	n/a*	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24%	n/a
ОН	22%	861	22%	n/a	21%	18%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	21%	832	22%	32%	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%
NJ	21%	1776	21%	27%	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
wi	19%	2195	20%	21%	21%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%
TN	17%	831	20%	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%
MN	17%	3689	17%	n/a	20%	21%	22%	21%	18%	n/a	n/a
MS	17%	1395	20%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a**	20%	13%
IN	17%	417	17%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	15%	14%	n/a	n/a
NV	15%	400	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	14%	788	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	20%	17003	20%	26%	21%	22%	23%	19%	17%	20%	17%

Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
OR	67%	648	69%	65%	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	51%
тх	63%	1733	63%	53%	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	62%	408	62%	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a
MN	60%	3409	60%	n/a	64%	63%	67%	45%	35%	n/a	n/a
со	59%	953	59%	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a
MS	57%	394	57%	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a	43%	24%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	56%	842	56%	n/a	53%	46%	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	55%	2117	55%	50%	54%	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%
KS	54%	424	54%	n/a*	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	n/a
IN	49%	1337	53%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%	45%
TN	48%	774	54%	n/a	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%
NJ	48%	792	49%	31%	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	47%
DE	48%	610	48%	n/a	48%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	48%
NE	41%	599	37%	n/a	n/a	37%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	45%
NV	39%	396	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	40%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	36%	746	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	56%	16182	57%	50%	57%	59%	63%	43%	28%	51%	47%

Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	77%	233	70%	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	83%
TN	73%	374	76%	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%
VT	67%	245	67%	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	65%	350	67%	63%	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%
IN	65%	690	61%	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	71%
со	63%	555	63%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%	n/a
MN	63%	1597	63%	n/a	61%	63%	67%	57%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
тх	62%	1056	62%	64%	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	62%	1146	63%	59%	62%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
ОН	55%	449	55%	n/a	54%	52%	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	53%	285	62%	n/a	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	41%
GA	53%	288	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53%	n/a
OR	52%	395	52%	57%	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%	45%
NV	51%	152	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%	54%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	47%	165	47%	n/a	n/a	48%	n/a	35%	46%**	n/a	n/a
KS	42%	229	42%	n/a*	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	60%	8209	59%	59%	61%	59%	62%	50%	51%	47%	65%

Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	Overall Total N	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	36%	1746	36%	18%	36%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	29%	651	29%	22%	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	31%
KS	29%	428	29%	n/a*	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a
TN	28%	752	30%	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%
NE	28%	611	28%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	27%
VT	27%	409	27%	n/a	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a
со	27%	980	27%	n/a	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a
WI	26%	2147	27%	11%	27%	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%
NJ	26%	796	26%	29%	25%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	27%
ОН	26%	849	26%	n/a	23%	23%	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	25%	407	25%	n/a	n/a	27%	n/a	18%	15%**	n/a	n/a
MN	24%	3638	24%	n/a	21%	28%	19%	43%	31%	n/a	n/a
DE	22%	648	24%	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%
IN	21%	1365	27%	n/a	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	13%
NV	21%	390	21%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	10%	739	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	26%	16556	27%	22%	29%	29%	25%	35%	20%	18%	20%

Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	19%	337	19%	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a
OR	18%	611	18%	18%	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	17%
со	18%	859	18%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a
DE	17%	540	16%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%
NE	16%	464	19%	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	13%
NV	16%	368	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	16%	1955	16%	11%	16%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%
WI	16%	545	14%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%
ОН	15%	798	15%	n/a	13%	10%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	15%	698	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
тх	15%	1433	15%	14%	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	13%	376	13%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	10%	15%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	12%	548	14%	13%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%
MN	11%	3091	11%	n/a	16%	12%	16%	15%	20%	n/a	n/a
IN	10%	411	10%	n/a*	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
KS	10%	1201	12%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	10%
NCI-AD Average	14%	14235	14%	15%	14%	16%	17%	14%	16%	13%	14%

Table 93. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed²⁶ (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

** NOTE: Mississippi's TBI program includes both individuals with a brain injury and individuals with a spinal cord injury

²⁶ Reporting changed in 2017-2018 – "often" is no longer combined with "sometimes"

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
ОН	94%	869	94%	n/a	91%	92%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	93%	1777	93%	94%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	92%	434	92%	n/a*	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a
TN	89%	809	91%	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
MS	89%	417	89%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	90%	52%**	n/a	n/a
GA	88%	774	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a
VT	87%	417	87%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a
WI	87%	2191	88%	90%	88%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
OR	86%	671	87%	81%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	80%
NE	86%	651	86%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	87%
NJ	86%	819	85%	91%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87%
IN	85%	1398	90%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%	79%
со	84%	993	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a
DE	83%	651	88%	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
MN	82%	3707	82%	n/a	88%	91%	84%	77%	60%	n/a	n/a
NV	76%	403	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	87%	16981	88%	88%	90%	87%	90%	80%	56%	91%	82%

Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	20%	1762	20%	16%	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	16%	766	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a
TN	16%	821	17%	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
со	16%	987	16%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a
ОН	16%	867	16%	n/a	14%	15%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	15%	674	15%	7%	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	14%
KS	13%	435	13%	n/a*	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a
IN	13%	1401	15%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%	11%
NJ	13%	824	13%	8%	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
MN	12%	3711	12%	n/a	9%	13%	19%	5%	0%	n/a	n/a
VT	12%	420	12%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a
DE	12%	656	10%	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
WI	12%	2208	10%	8%	11%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%
NV	11%	402	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	10%	418	10%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	7%	5%**	n/a	n/a
NE	6%	647	6%	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	5%
NCI-AD Average	14%	16999	14%	10%	14%	13%	18%	5%	3%	18%	12%

Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if they wear any)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	28%	1762	28%	15%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	23%	774	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a
MS	23%	417	23%	n/a	n/a	24%	n/a	18%	8%**	n/a	n/a
ОН	22%	863	22%	n/a	22%	19%	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	21%	671	22%	12%	n/a	24%	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	15%
TN	21%	816	28%	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%
со	20%	982	20%	n/a	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a
VT	18%	421	18%	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a	n/a
DE	17%	652	17%	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17%
NJ	17%	819	18%	13%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%
wi	16%	2208	16%	13%	15%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%
MN	16%	3673	16%	n/a	14%	15%	19%	10%	18%	n/a	n/a
NV	15%	403	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	15%	1393	19%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	10%
KS	13%	436	13%	n/a*	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a
NE	12%	650	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	13%
NCI-AD Average	19%	16940	20%	12%	21%	21%	21%	13%	10%	18%	13%

Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if they wear any)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
тх	92%	1429	92%	56%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	88%	545	93%	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
VT	85%	328	85%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	52%	n/a	n/a
KS	85%	393	85%	n/a*	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a
MS	84%	376	84%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	88%	92%**	n/a	n/a
IN	83%	1176	90%	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	76%
ОН	83%	736	83%	n/a	80%	70%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
СО	82%	844	82%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a
WI	82%	1983	84%	74%	81%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%
OR	82%	584	81%	79%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	88%
NJ	80%	585	79%	69%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
DE	79%	537	86%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%
GA	75%	655	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a
NV	74%	333	74%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	73%	441	79%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	68%
MN	70%	2861	70%	n/a	63%	75%	69%	88%	70%	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	82%	13806	82%	72%	83%	85%	78%	88%	84%	75%	77%

Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Medications

Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.

There are two Medication indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people taking medications that help them feel less sad or depressed.
- 2. Proportion of people who know what their medications are for.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Medication domain.

	Overall					HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
TN	44%	720	44%	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	43%
тх	43%	1738	43%	43%	43%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	42%	626	42%	42%	n/a	43%	n/a	n/a	n/a	42%	34%
ОН	41%	815	41%	n/a	42%	37%	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	40%	400	40%	n/a	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
со	39%	974	39%	n/a	n/a	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a
NE	39%	589	41%	n/a	n/a	42%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	38%
KS	39%	429	39%	n/a*	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36%	n/a
WI	38%	2100	38%	32%	40%	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%
MS	37%	401	37%	n/a	n/a	38%	n/a	33%	32%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	36%	775	35%	33%	35%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%
MN	35%	3600	35%	n/a	43%	35%	41%	40%	43%	n/a	n/a
DE	34%	605	32%	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%
GA	33%	741	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a
NV	32%	378	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%	33%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	30%	1353	37%	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a	32%	24%
NCI-AD Average	38%	16244	39%	39%	41%	39%	40%	37%	35%	36%	33%

Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
GA	91%	661	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a
MS	91%	370	91%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	92%	95%**	n/a	n/a
KS	91%	401	91%	n/a*	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a
СО	86%	840	86%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a
ОН	84%	768	84%	n/a	87%	66%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	81%	590	85%	61%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%
IN	80%	1217	89%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%	71%
NE	80%	470	86%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	73%
MN	78%	2906	78%	n/a	77%	81%	79%	84%	74%	n/a	n/a
тх	78%	1452	78%	69%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	78%	1998	80%	85%	78%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%
νт	77%	327	77%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
OR	77%	582	77%	68%	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	93%	71%
DE	76%	527	83%	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%
NV	72%	349	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	72%	552	85%	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
NCI-AD Average	81%	14010	83%	71%	82%	84%	80%	86%	88%	88%	69%

Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if take prescription medications)²⁷

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁷ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

Rights and Respect

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.

There are two Rights and Respect indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others.
- 2. Proportion of people whose staff treat them with respect.

There are eight survey items that correspond to the Rights and Respect domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	96%	277	96%	n/a*	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%	n/a
тх	95%	948	95%	95%	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	95%	281	95%	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a	97%	97%**	n/a	n/a
со	94%	594	94%	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a
GA	94%	97	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a
MN	94%	2225	94%	n/a	94%	94%	95%	85%	91%	n/a	n/a
VT	93%	271	93%	n/a	n/a	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
ОН	91%	637	91%	n/a	92%	88%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	91%	338	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	91%	701	96%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	96%	87%
OR	90%	438	91%	86%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	81%
WI	88%	1496	90%	95%	87%	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
TN	87%	387	92%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
NJ	86%	402	94%	99%	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74%
NE	82%	396	85%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	80%
DE	80%	419	84%	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
NCI-AD average	91%	9907	93%	93%	92%	93%	93%	89%	95%	95%	83%

Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	88%	33	88%	n/a*	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
он	80%	175	80%	n/a	81%	80%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	80%	59	80%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
MN	77%	913	77%	n/a	80%	89%	75%	71%	81%	n/a	n/a
NE	71%	222	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	69%
WI	68%	611	70%	84%	69%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%
NV	64%	146	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	62%	211	64%	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%
OR	61%	409	65%	70%	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	49%
VT	60%	20	60%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	59%	202	76%	n/a*	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	49%
IN	58%	368	80%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	57%
DE	58%	288	70%	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%
тх	53%	125	53%	59%	53%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	52%	94	52%	n/a	n/a	50%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
NCI-AD average	66%	3888	73%	70%	73%	70%	74%	72%	82%	87%	58%

Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission gets asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting²⁸)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁸ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
ОН	94%	171	94%	n/a	88%	97%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	89%	29	89%	n/a*	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
со	81%	57	81%	n/a	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
MN	80%	875	80%	n/a	86%	n/a*	81%	75%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
OR	76%	391	90%	97%	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	20%
тх	71%	123	71%	69%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	70%	89	70%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
NV	66%	143	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	37%	549	62%	50%	63%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%
NJ	30%	192	67%	n/a*	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%
NE	26%	212	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	22%
DE	26%	260	83%	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%
TN	17%	201	45%	n/a	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
IN	14%	340	82%	n/a	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	8%
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
VT	n/a*	18	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	46%	3662	79%	84%	74%	87%	80%	75%	73%	87%	11%

Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting²⁹)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

²⁹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	0	verall		HCBS only								
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs	
KS	93%	32	93%	n/a*	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	
MN	91%	909	91%	n/a	91%	84%	92%	86%	95%	n/a	n/a	
он	89%	174	89%	n/a	88%	88%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
со	87%	59	87%	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	
MS	84%	95	84%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a	
WI	83%	612	87%	95%	86%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	
NV	82%	150	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OR	80%	411	83%	92%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	67%	
тх	75%	125	75%	56%	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
IN	72%	369	87%	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	71%	
TN	70%	215	76%	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	
DE	69%	287	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	
NJ	68%	202	79%	n/a*	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%	
NE	65%	222	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	63%	
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	
VT	n/a*	19	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	
NCI-AD average	78%	3893	86%	86%	86%	84%	91%	86%	91%	90%	70%	

Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting³⁰)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

³⁰ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall		HCBS only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs		
KS	97%	30	97%	n/a*	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a		
TN	96%	201	92%	n/a	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%		
MN	95%	884	95%	n/a	95%	100%	97%	90%	n/a*	n/a	n/a		
он	95%	174	95%	n/a	92%	97%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
со	92%	55	92%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a		
wi	91%	581	93%	92%	93%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%		
IN	90%	357	91%	n/a	n/a	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%	90%		
NE	89%	194	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	89%		
DE	89%	277	70%	n/a	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	91%		
OR	87%	387	88%	90%	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	85%		
MS	87%	82	87%	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a		
NV	75%	136	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a		
тх	75%	120	75%	94%	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
NJ	61%	182	79%	n/a*	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	51%		
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a		
VT	n/a*	19	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a		
NCI-AD average	89%	3691	91%	90%	90%	90%	95%	90%	85%	98%	87%		

Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting³¹)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

³¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
ОН	98%	175	98%	n/a	100%	97%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	97%	32	97%	n/a*	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
со	94%	57	94%	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
NJ	91%	189	94%	n/a*	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
wi	91%	574	94%	95%	94%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
OR	91%	390	91%	95%	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	90%
MN	89%	877	89%	n/a	89%	95%	91%	86%	90%	n/a	n/a
IN	89%	344	97%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	100%	88%
NE	88%	191	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	87%
TN	85%	189	98%	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
тх	84%	114	84%	78%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	84%	84	84%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
DE	84%	264	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%
NV	84%	140	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	n/a*	11	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
VT	n/a*	17	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	90%	3648	92%	93%	92%	93%	90%	86%	92%	96%	88%

Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting³²)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

³² Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	97%	33	97%	n/a*	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
TN	90%	213	96%	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	89%
СО	89%	57	89%	n/a	n/a	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
MN	88%	870	88%	n/a	88%	94%	87%	85%	86%	n/a	n/a
ОН	87%	174	87%	n/a	88%	86%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	86%	601	87%	98%	86%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%
NJ	84%	202	91%	n/a*	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
NE	84%	213	94%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	83%
OR	83%	396	83%	85%	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	83%
MS	81%	93	81%	n/a	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
NV	79%	143	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	76%	265	86%	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
тх	67%	124	67%	46%	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	67%	356	83%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	65%
GA	n/a*	12	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
VT	n/a*	18	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	81%	3770	86%	81%	87%	84%	86%	85%	88%	90%	75%

Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting³³)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

³³ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NE	16%	213	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	17%
TN	12%	161	5%	n/a	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	14%
NV	9%	128	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	7%	171	8%	n/a*	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%
WI	7%	544	10%	5%	10%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
тх	7%	90	7%	7%	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	6%	54	6%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
OR	6%	364	5%	5%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	10%
DE	6%	246	7%	n/a	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
MS	5%	73	5%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a*	n/a* **	n/a	n/a
MN	4%	845	4%	n/a	5%	0%	1%	7%	14%	n/a	n/a
ОН	3%	171	3%	n/a	0%	5%	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	3%	312	5%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	3%
KS	3%	31	3%	n/a*	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
GA	n/a*	9	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
VT	n/a*	18	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD average	6%	3430	5%	7%	7%	4%	2%	8%	12%	13%	6%

Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting³⁴)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

³⁴ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction of Care

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.

There are two Self-Direction of Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people self-directing.
- 2. Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them.

There are three survey items that correspond to the Self-Direction of Care domain. There is also one Background Information item corresponding to this domain that must be derived from the states' existing administrative datasets.

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
OR	44%	683	48%	0%	n/a	60%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
КЅ	43%	433	43%	n/a*	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%	n/a
νт	42%	415	42%	n/a	n/a	44%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	n/a
со	36%	994	36%	n/a	n/a	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a
wi	34%	2202	44%	5%	26%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
NV	22%	389	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	19%	674	32%	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
TN ^	12%	808	18%	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
NJ	10%	823	16%	1%	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
тх	7%	1783	7%	0%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	5%	783	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a
NE ^^	2%	600	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	2%
IN ^^^	2%	1089	1%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a*
ОН	1%	839	1%	n/a	0%	0%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN ^^^	1%	2305	1%	n/a	2%	1%	6%	0%	0%	n/a	n/a
MS	0%	428	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	n/a	0%	0%**	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	17%	15248	19%	2%	16%	35%	4%	2%	0%	1%	1%

Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State—data derived from the State's existing administrative records) ³⁵

^ NOTE: State's data contain >5% unknown values; ^^ State's data contain >10% unknown values; ^^^ State's data contain >20% unknown value

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

** NOTE: Mississippi's TBI program includes both individuals with a brain injury and individuals with a spinal cord injury

³⁵ CAUTION: States' availability of these data varied, resulting in varied rates of unknown and missing values; use caution when interpreting results

	Overall HCBS only	NFs only									
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	87%	358	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	83%	713	83%	n/a	78%	77%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	75%	375	75%	n/a*	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a
WI	72%	1874	73%	77%	72%	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%
TN	72%	703	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%
MN	70%	3055	70%	n/a	66%	69%	76%	70%	77%	n/a	n/a
VT	67%	350	67%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a
со	66%	794	66%	n/a	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
IN	64%	997	77%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	49%
MS	63%	315	63%	n/a	n/a	61%	n/a	72%	79%**	n/a	n/a
тх	63%	1427	63%	52%	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	61%	643	66%	72%	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
NE	60%	505	56%	n/a	n/a	56%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	60%
DE	59%	524	63%	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52%
OR	51%	505	50%	83%	n/a	47%	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	59%
GA	48%	525	48%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	48%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	67%	13663	69%	70%	70%	64%	83%	72%	78%	59%	57%

Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	83%	373	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	80%	716	80%	n/a	76%	73%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	78%	374	78%	n/a*	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a
WI	67%	1839	68%	75%	67%	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%
MN	66%	3054	66%	n/a	62%	62%	73%	66%	78%	n/a	n/a
MS	65%	338	65%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	76%	69%**	n/a	n/a
со	64%	797	64%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	58%	n/a
TN	64%	714	73%	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%
VT	63%	368	63%	n/a	n/a	63%	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a	n/a
IN	61%	1000	73%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	67%	48%
тх	58%	1429	58%	56%	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	58%	640	64%	74%	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46%
NE	56%	524	55%	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	53%
DE	55%	509	58%	n/a	58%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50%
OR	50%	505	50%	72%	n/a	48%	n/a	n/a	n/a	56%	52%
GA	45%	545	45%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	64%	13725	66%	69%	66%	63%	79%	70%	71%	61%	53%

Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
ОН	84%	577	84%	n/a	83%	80%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	80%	329	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	80%	1045	80%	63%	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	79%	272	79%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
KS	77%	270	77%	n/a*	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a
MS	77%	283	77%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	83%	86%**	n/a	n/a
DE	76%	422	71%	n/a	71%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%
со	75%	605	75%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
TN	73%	471	78%	n/a	78%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%
MN	73%	2255	73%	n/a	78%	76%	78%	74%	77%	n/a	n/a
NJ	73%	432	76%	75%	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%
wi	71%	1457	75%	53%	74%	81%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%
OR	71%	432	72%	78%	n/a	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%	63%
NE	67%	362	60%	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	65%
GA	61%	109	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61%	n/a
IN	59%	689	83%	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	53%
NCI-AD Average	73%	10010	77%	72%	79%	75%	82%	78%	83%	74%	63%

Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment if they want it.

There are five Work indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who have a paid job.
- 2. Proportion of people who would like a job.
- 3. Proportion of people who have had job search assistance.
- 4. Proportion of people who volunteer.
- 5. Proportion of people who would like to volunteer.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Work domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
GA	6%	785	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a
MS	4%	418	4%	n/a	n/a	5%	n/a	3%	3%**	n/a	n/a
со	4%	994	4%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
NJ	3%	825	3%	0%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
VT	3%	423	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a
DE	3%	665	2%	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4%
WI	3%	2227	3%	5%	3%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
MN	3%	3732	3%	n/a	6%	8%	4%	7%	13%	n/a	n/a
KS	3%	430	3%	n/a*	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a
NE	2%	661	3%	n/a	n/a	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%
ОН	2%	859	2%	n/a	4%	1%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	2%	1394	2%	n/a	n/a	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	2%
тх	2%	1769	2%	6%	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	2%	404	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	1%	679	1%	2%	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	2%	2%
TN	1%	843	1%	n/a	1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
NCI-AD Average	2%	17108	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	5%	5%	2%

Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	39%	328	39%	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
DE	30%	535	28%	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%
WI	29%	1979	31%	24%	30%	34%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	26%
MS	26%	359	26%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	21%	15%**	n/a	n/a
СО	26%	838	26%	n/a	n/a	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a
NE	23%	460	28%	n/a	n/a	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	14%
OR	22%	593	22%	26%	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a	20%	23%
NJ	20%	589	17%	28%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29%
тх	18%	1434	18%	26%	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	18%	632	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a
MN	18%	2622	18%	n/a	21%	19%	33%	26%	33%	n/a	n/a
IN	17%	1183	18%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%	16%
ОН	16%	774	16%	n/a	17%	12%	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	14%	570	15%	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
KS	11%	402	11%	n/a*	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a
NV	9%	365	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	20%	13663	20%	24%	20%	25%	24%	23%	21%	18%	19%

Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
KS	35%	42	35%	n/a*	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a
со	30%	244	30%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	23%	n/a
WI	26%	633	28%	7%	27%	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3%
TN	23%	90	31%	n/a	32%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13%
MN	23%	580	23%	n/a	19%	28%	14%	44%	n/a*	n/a	n/a
VT	20%	130	20%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	19%	120	26%	7%	28%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*
MS	18%	114	18%	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a	20%	23%**	n/a	n/a
OR	15%	127	15%	n/a*	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a*
тх	12%	311	12%	9%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	11%	162	13%	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%
NE	10%	111	13%	n/a	n/a	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	0%
GA	10%	83	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a
IN	8%	190	14%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%	0%
ОН	8%	101	8%	n/a	11%	n/a*	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	4%	26	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a*	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	18%	3064	20%	7%	20%	22%	11%	37%	35%	15%	4%

Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	14%	991	14%	n/a	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%	n/a
NE	14%	651	15%	n/a	n/a	15%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	11%
OR	13%	672	13%	12%	n/a	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%	12%
WI	13%	2209	13%	28%	12%	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11%
MN	13%	3717	13%	n/a	16%	13%	15%	13%	20%	n/a	n/a
DE	12%	662	11%	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%
MS	11%	418	11%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	10%	9%**	n/a	n/a
VT	10%	424	10%	n/a	n/a	11%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a
KS	9%	436	9%	n/a*	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a
тх	8%	1773	8%	12%	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	7%	863	7%	n/a	8%	9%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	7%	404	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	7%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a
IN	6%	1387	9%	n/a	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	3%
GA	6%	743	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	n/a
TN	5%	841	5%	n/a	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%
NJ	5%	827	5%	10%	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
NCI-AD Average	10%	17018	10%	14%	9%	13%	10%	12%	12%	10%	7%

Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
νт	40%	294	40%	n/a	n/a	40%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NE	39%	365	39%	n/a	n/a	39%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	39%
WI	35%	1684	36%	42%	35%	38%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31%
со	32%	733	32%	n/a	n/a	31%	n/a	n/a	n/a	33%	n/a
DE	30%	479	27%	n/a	27%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%
OR	30%	529	30%	39%	n/a	30%	n/a	n/a	n/a	34%	20%
ОН	25%	732	25%	n/a	26%	17%	26%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	25%	504	20%	31%	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	37%
тх	23%	1319	23%	32%	23%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	22%	2502	22%	n/a	25%	25%	32%	25%	30%	n/a	n/a
IN	22%	1083	26%	n/a	n/a	29%	n/a	n/a	n/a	21%	18%
MS	21%	337	21%	n/a	n/a	21%	n/a	23%	17%**	n/a	n/a
GA	19%	641	19%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a
TN	18%	525	20%	n/a	20%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%
KS	17%	367	17%	n/a*	13%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25%	n/a
NV	16%	348	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	18%	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NCI-AD Average	26%	12442	26%	34%	25%	29%	28%	23%	22%	25%	25%

Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering) (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Everyday Living

People have enough supports for everyday living.

There are two Everyday Living indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

- 1. Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (bathing, toileting, taking medications, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (cleaning, laundry, etc.).
- 2. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Everyday Living domain.

	0	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	99%	399	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	99%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	98%	658	99%	n/a	n/a	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	97%
TN	98%	837	98%	n/a	98%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	98%
ОН	97%	858	97%	n/a	95%	97%	100%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
тх	97%	1775	97%	70%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	96%	425	96%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a
MS	96%	424	96%	n/a	n/a	95%	n/a	99%	98%**	n/a	n/a
WI	95%	2223	94%	92%	93%	99%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%
IJ	94%	832	93%	85%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	97%
KS	93%	436	93%	n/a*	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a
со	93%	992	93%	n/a	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	80%	n/a
DE	92%	661	95%	n/a	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%
MN	92%	3734	92%	n/a	90%	94%	92%	97%	100%	n/a	n/a
OR	91%	675	91%	87%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	92%
IN	88%	1414	91%	n/a	n/a	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%	85%
GA	72%	793	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	93%	17136	94%	83%	95%	97%	96%	98%	97%	76%	92%

Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	90%	393	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	90%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	89%	829	89%	n/a	85%	95%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	88%	407	88%	n/a*	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%	n/a
IN	88%	1216	84%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	92%
MS	87%	388	87%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	89%	93%**	n/a	n/a
TN	84%	815	84%	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
VT	84%	406	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
NJ	84%	756	85%	91%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
тх	84%	1640	84%	85%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	83%	3448	83%	n/a	79%	88%	85%	80%	100%	n/a	n/a
WI	82%	2091	81%	85%	79%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	86%
NE	80%	637	73%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	90%
СО	80%	915	80%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	69%	n/a
DE	78%	603	83%	n/a	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68%
OR	75%	592	76%	88%	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	66%	70%
GA	62%	571	62%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	83%	15707	83%	86%	83%	82%	88%	84%	92%	70%	86%

Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
TN	96%	842	96%	n/a	96%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
NE	90%	661	86%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	94%
тх	90%	1767	90%	52%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	89%	401	89%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	97%	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	88%	835	84%	57%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95%
VT	84%	424	84%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	18%	n/a	n/a
ОН	82%	864	82%	n/a	79%	76%	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	80%	673	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81%
WI	79%	2229	75%	78%	70%	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%
OR	76%	678	75%	82%	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	38%	84%
MS	76%	424	76%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	87%	88%**	n/a	n/a
IN	74%	1413	69%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	37%	79%
со	71%	992	71%	n/a	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	45%	n/a
MN	71%	3741	71%	n/a	62%	85%	71%	87%	75%	n/a	n/a
KS	65%	438	65%	n/a*	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36%	n/a
GA	55%	783	55%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	78%	17165	77%	66%	80%	81%	78%	88%	80%	43%	87%

Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
NV	92%	351	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a	92%	92%	n/a	n/a	n/a
он	91%	696	91%	n/a	89%	96%	93%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
KS	89%	264	89%	n/a*	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	88%	n/a
IN	87%	928	84%	n/a	n/a	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%	88%
MS	87%	315	87%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	88%	92%**	n/a	n/a
NJ	86%	672	88%	96%	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
TN	85%	795	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
MN	84%	2719	84%	n/a	76%	91%	90%	82%	90%	n/a	n/a
VT	84%	351	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
тх	83%	1494	83%	88%	83%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
wi	83%	1741	82%	89%	81%	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
со	82%	712	82%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
DE	81%	536	85%	n/a	85%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75%
OR	77%	499	78%	91%	n/a	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	62%	67%
NE	77%	575	73%	n/a	n/a	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	81%
GA	59%	444	59%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	84%	13092	84%	90%	84%	83%	92%	85%	92%	70%	84%

Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

	0v	verall				HCBS	Sonly				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
VT	89%	415	89%	n/a	n/a	89%	n/a	n/a	86%	n/a	n/a
MN	89%	3652	89%	n/a	88%	89%	90%	84%	97%	n/a	n/a
IN	88%	1388	84%	n/a	n/a	84%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	93%
KS	88%	437	88%	n/a*	91%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%	n/a
ОН	88%	860	88%	n/a	89%	83%	86%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	87%	812	88%	n/a	88%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%
NJ	86%	818	89%	73%	90%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82%
WI	85%	2198	86%	93%	85%	87%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	83%
тх	82%	1742	82%	83%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
со	81%	983	81%	n/a	n/a	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a
DE	79%	643	80%	n/a	80%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77%
NV	79%	399	79%	n/a	n/a	n/a	79%	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	78%	669	79%	86%	n/a	77%	n/a	n/a	n/a	85%	73%
MS	76%	415	76%	n/a	n/a	75%	n/a	81%	87%**	n/a	n/a
NE	76%	616	66%	n/a	n/a	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	86%
GA	70%	779	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	n/a
NCI-AD Average	84%	16826	84%	83%	86%	80%	88%	83%	89%	79%	87%

Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Affordability

People have enough available resources.

There is one Affordability indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have ever had to cut back on food because of money.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Affordability domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
со	18%	988	18%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a
MS	16%	415	16%	n/a	n/a	17%	n/a	13%	5%**	n/a	n/a
OR	15%	674	16%	2%	n/a	16%	n/a	n/a	n/a	16%	6%
тх	14%	1754	14%	9%	14%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	12%	608	22%	n/a	n/a	22%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	1%
GA	10%	772	10%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10%	n/a
VT	9%	415	9%	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	19%	n/a	n/a
WI	8%	2210	11%	3%	10%	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1%
MN	8%	3686	8%	n/a	8%	9%	9%	11%	0%	n/a	n/a
IN	8%	1367	12%	n/a	n/a	12%	n/a	n/a	n/a	12%	2%
KS	7%	433	7%	n/a*	4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9%	n/a
ОН	7%	868	7%	n/a	7%	2%	7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	7%	829	9%	n/a	9%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5%
NV	6%	400	6%	n/a	n/a	n/a	6%	3%	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	5%	655	8%	n/a	8%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
NJ	3%	824	6%	11%	5%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%
NCI-AD Average	10%	16,898	11%	8%	10%	15%	8%	11%	5%	13%	2%

Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

Control

People feel in control of their lives

There is one Control indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Control domain.

	01	verall				HCBS	5 only				NFs only
State	Overall	Total N (observed)	Overall – HCBS only	PACE	MLTSS HCBS	Combined Medicaid	Aging Medicaid	PD Medicaid	BI Medicaid	OAA	NFs
IN	76%	1209	75%	n/a	n/a	76%	n/a	n/a	n/a	76%	80%
ОН	74%	768	74%	n/a	76%	63%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	73%	366	73%	n/a	n/a	n/a	70%	82%	n/a	n/a	n/a
MN	72%	2950	72%	n/a	68%	73%	68%	73%	79%	n/a	n/a
KS	72%	401	72%	n/a*	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72%	n/a
MS	71%	368	71%	n/a	n/a	71%	n/a	72%	72%**	n/a	n/a
тх	70%	1413	70%	77%	70%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	67%	2007	67%	76%	67%	66%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71%
OR	67%	591	68%	71%	n/a	69%	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%	56%
NJ	66%	599	72%	80%	72%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54%
VT	66%	332	66%	n/a	n/a	67%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	n/a
GA	64%	659	64%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	64%	n/a
TN	63%	560	68%	n/a	68%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57%
со	63%	835	63%	n/a	n/a	65%	n/a	n/a	n/a	60%	n/a
DE	60%	539	61%	n/a	61%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	59%
NE	55%	471	51%	n/a	n/a	51%	n/a	n/a	n/a*	n/a	56%
NCI-AD Average	69%	14068	70%	75%	70%	68%	70%	73%	73%	66%	68%

Table 123. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives (risk-adjusted)

* NOTE: number of respondents < 20, result not shown

State	Неа	alth	Saf	ety	Being ind	ependent	Being engaged with community and friends		
State	Most important	Least important	Most important	Least important	Most important	Least important	Most important	Least important	
со	62%	3%	7%	24%	25%	12%	7%	61%	
DE	65%	3%	8%	17%	16%	22%	10%	55%	
GA	56%	2%	5%	21%	31%	8%	8%	69%	
IN	76%	2%	4%	10%	16%	6%	4%	81%	
кѕ	73%	2%	4%	18%	18%	12%	5%	68%	
MN	62%	3%	6%	22%	19%	18%	13%	56%	
MS	72%	3%	7%	17%	17%	14%	4%	63%	
NE	55%	4%	8%	35%	22%	19%	17%	42%	
IJ	70%	3%	8%	16%	16%	15%	6%	66%	
NV	60%	2%	11%	13%	25%	6%	5%	78%	
ОН	67%	2%	7%	21%	20%	14%	7%	63%	
OR	62%	3%	9%	20%	15%	19%	14%	59%	
TN	60%	4%	14%	19%	17%	15%	10%	62%	
тх	75%	1%	6%	12%	12%	16%	7%	70%	
νт	52%	5%	6%	24%	30%	15%	12%	55%	
wi	69%	2%	7%	21%	18%	15%	6%	61%	
NCI-AD Average	67%	2%	7%	19%	18%	14%	8%	64%	

Table 124. Ranking of how important health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends are to people

Section III: Outcome Charts by Program Category


"Section III: Outcome Charts by Program Category" contains an alternative presentation of analyzed outcome data across program categories, in graphical format. Charts in this section display only weighted program category averages aggregated across all states, the overall weighted "NCI-AD Average" and the weighted "Overall – HCBS only" average across all states.

For selected outcomes in this section, data have been risk-adjusted; these outcomes are indicated in chart titles.

For further details on development and application of weights, collapsing survey responses, and risk-adjustment methodology, please see section on "Data Analysis" in Part 2 of this report. For un-adjusted, un-weighted, and un-collapsed data by state, please see Part 2, Appendix B.

Community Participation – charts

Chart 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be (*risk-adjusted*)






Chart 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to³⁶ (*risk-adjusted*)

Choice and Decision-Making – charts

Chart 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting³⁷ and have roommates)



³⁷ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home




Chart 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to




Chart 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to





Chart 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting³⁸)

³⁸ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Relationships – charts

Chart 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to their friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with them) (*risk-adjusted*)



Satisfaction – charts




Chart 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living *(risk-adjusted)*




Chart 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else (risk-adjusted)




Chart 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day (*risk-adjusted*)




Chart 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often






Chart 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done


Service Coordination – charts

Chart 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services³⁹



³⁹ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies








Chart 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to




Chart 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place




Chart 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs (risk-adjusted)





Chart 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals (risk-adjusted)








Chart 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis) (*risk-adjusted*)













Chart 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up


Care Coordination - charts


Chart 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)















Chart 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if have chronic conditions)

Access - charts

Chart 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)






Chart 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to




Chart 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)⁴⁰

⁴⁰ **CAUTION**: States varied in degree and types of accommodations offered to non-English-speaking survey participants; use caution when interpreting results




Chart 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them




Chart 31. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them




Chart 32. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it




Chart 33. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside their home but do not have it




Chart 34. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it




Chart 35. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it




Chart 36. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it




Chart 37. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it




Chart 38. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it




Chart 39. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it




Chart 40. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them




Chart 41. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them




Chart 42. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it⁴¹

⁴¹ New question added in 2017-2018




Chart 43. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it

Safety – charts




Chart 44. Proportion of people who feel safe at home (risk-adjusted)




Chart 45. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff




Chart 46. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings (risk-adjusted)




Chart 47. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months





Chart 48. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable (risk-adjusted)

Chart 49. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk







Chart 50. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire *(risk-adjusted)*

Health Care – charts

Chart 51. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year






Chart 52. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance




Chart 53. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain




Chart 54. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to




Chart 55. Proportion of people feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months




Chart 56. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year




Chart 57. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year




Chart 58. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year




Chart 59. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year




Chart 60. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year




Chart 61. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years

Wellness – charts




Chart 62. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor




Chart 63. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago *(risk-adjusted)*




Chart 64. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months




Chart 65. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse




Chart 66. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis




Chart 67. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed⁴² (*risk-adjusted*)

⁴² Reporting changed in 2017-2018 – "often" is no longer combined with "sometimes"




Chart 68. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)




Chart 69. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if they wear any)




Chart 70. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if they wear any)







Chart 71. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability

Medications – charts

Chart 72. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed (risk-adjusted)







⁴³ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

Rights and Respect – charts




Chart 74. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect






Chart 75. Proportion of people whose permission gets asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting⁴⁴)

⁴⁴ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home





⁴⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home




Chart 77. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting⁴⁶)

⁴⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home




Chart 78. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting⁴⁷)

⁴⁷ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home






Chart 79. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting⁴⁸)

⁴⁸ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home





⁴⁹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home





Chart 81. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting⁵⁰)

⁵⁰ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction of Care – charts

Chart 82. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State—data derived from the State's existing administrative records)⁵¹



⁵¹ CAUTION: States' availability of these data varied, resulting in varied rates of unknown and missing values; use caution when interpreting results




Chart 83. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get (risk-adjusted)




Chart 84. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services (risk-adjusted)






Chart 85. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to (risk-adjusted)

Work – charts








Chart 87. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) (risk-adjusted)




Chart 88. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options




Chart 89. Proportion of people who do volunteer work (*risk-adjusted*)







Chart 90. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering) (*risk-adjusted*)


Everyday Living – charts


Chart 91. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)














Chart 94. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it (*risk-adjusted*)





Chart 95. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them

Affordability – charts

Chart 96. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries



Control – charts




Chart 97. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives (risk-adjusted)